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Are Small Businesses the Biggest Producers of Jobs?

By Kevin L. Kliesen and Julia S. Maus

The failure rates of small businesses are quite high. According to the Bureau of Labor Statistics, only about half of the businesses that opened in 1994 were still operating five years later.

Isn’t a universal agreement on the definition of a small business. Furthermore, the failure rates of small business are quite high. According to the Bureau of Labor Statistics, only about half of the businesses that opened in 1994 were still operating five years later. Thus, when one accounts for job destruction, small businesses account for a significantly smaller share of net new jobs created in the private sector than many people might believe.

What Do Past Studies Show?
The importance of small businesses to job creation has been part of the economic policy narrative for some time. In 1979, then-Massachusetts Institute of Technology Professor David Birch claimed that firms with 20 or fewer employees accounted for two-thirds of all new jobs created between 1969 and 1976, firms with 100 or fewer employees accounted for 82 percent of all new jobs created. Conversely, he found that large firms (500 or more employees) accounted for only 15 percent of net job growth. Birch’s finding challenged the conventional wisdom about job creation at the time and, accordingly, had enormous influence on policymakers and researchers.

Some economists soon began to challenge Birch’s findings. Using the same data as Birch, Catherine Armington and Marjorie Odle found in 1982 that businesses with 100 or fewer employees accounted for only 39 percent of net new jobs. Several years later, Charles Brown, James Hamilton and James Medoff pointed out that 40 percent of jobs created in small businesses in 1980 no longer existed in 1986. A more up-to-date assessment of the job-creation characteristics of small businesses can be found in work published by Stephen Davis, John Haltiwanger and Scott Schuh in 1996. These authors noted that “a common confusion between net and gross job creation distorts the overall job creation picture and hides the enormous number of new jobs created by large firms and small firms that Birch originally suggested.

Business Employment Dynamics

Researchers who want to assess the claim that small businesses account for a disproportionately large number of new jobs must first confront several issues. First, what is the best data source for the hypothesis to be tested? Second, how should a small business be defined? Third, should the focus be on the gross number of new jobs created or the net number of jobs created? The research suggests the latter. Why? Because even during the depths of the 2007-09 recession, businesses were still adding an average of nearly 800,000 new jobs a month. But they were shedding an even larger number of jobs per month—about 973,000.

In this article, we use the Business Employment Dynamics (BED) dataset from the Bureau of Labor Statistics. One drawback of the BED is that it has less than 20 years of previous data—enough to limit the ability to draw firm conclusions. The analysis in this article uses the following breakdown of firm size: 1-19 employees; 20-99 employees; 100-499 employees; and 500 or more employees.

Job Gains by Firm Size

The table shows average gross and net job gains at all private business establishments from the third quarter of 1992 through the first quarter of 2010. Over this roughly 18-year period, gross job gains per quarter averaged a little less than 1 million, or about 929,000 per month. Since the 2007-09 recession was extreme the table includes a separate column that excludes the data from that period. The lower half of the table shows that businesses with fewer than 20 employees provided the largest percentage of gross job gains (about 30 percent). Businesses with between 20 and 99 employees accounted for the largest share (about 27 percent), with the largest firms (500 or more) accounting for a smaller percentage (about 26 percent). The remaining category—businesses with between 100 and 499 employees—accounted for a smaller percentage of gross job gains. All of these percentages are little changed if we exclude the recession period.

The analysis in the table seems consistent with the conventional wisdom that small businesses are the largest source of job creation in the economy. However, as suggested by previous studies, the conclusion tends to change when the focus switches to net job creation.

Gross and Net Job Gains by Firm Size

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Size</th>
<th>Gross Job Gains</th>
<th>Net Job Gains</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Average</td>
<td>Percent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(in thousands)</td>
<td>of Total</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>per Quarter</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-19</td>
<td>1021</td>
<td>15.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20-99</td>
<td>747</td>
<td>11.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100-499</td>
<td>406</td>
<td>6.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>500 or more</td>
<td>232</td>
<td>3.8%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SOURCE: Authors’ calculations based on Bureau of Labor Statistics’ Business Employment Dynamics dataset. Some percentages do not total 100 due to rounding.

The two right-hand columns in the table examine net job gains. Job net gains are defined as job gains minus job losses. Three findings are apparent from the table. First, net job gains were significantly smaller than gross job gains. The net gains per quarter averaged only 105,000, or 1.8 million per month. Second, the table shows that the recession dramatically reduced the rate of net job creation. Once net job losses during the recession are removed from the calculations, the number of net jobs rose to 173,000 per quarter (about 58,000 per month). Finally, and perhaps most importantly, the BED data show that since 1992, net job creation tended to be largest among the smallest firms: These firms accounted for about 38 percent of the total. The smallest firms showed the smallest percentage of net jobs created. This result does not change if we exclude the past recession.

In short, small businesses showed higher rates of gross job creation, but they also exhibited higher rates of job destruction. Looked at this standpoint, net job creation matters most.

ENDNOTES

1 Birch followed up his original study with several subsequent studies (not cited here).
2 One drawback of this study is that it focused on the manufacturing sector, which is relatively small share of the economy and, thus, probably not a good representation of total job creation.
3 See Neuman, Wall and Zhang
4 The BED is available online at the Quarterly Census of Employment and Wages, which uses establishment survey or payroll records and the business employment dynamics dataset. See Spletzer et al. for more information about the BED.
5 Changes in employment moratorium can arise from ongoing or expanding businesses, or closing or contracting businesses. Gross job gains include the sum of jobs added at both ongoing and expanding establishments. Gross job losses, then, include the sum of all jobs lost at both closing establishments or contracting establishments.