Economic conditions continue to severely stress the commercial real estate (CRE) market. The CRE market is experiencing increasing delinquencies, value deterioration due to rising cap rates, and substantial refinancing risk over the next several years. The magnitude of the challenge is driven home by the fact that U.S. banks held $1.8 trillion in outstanding CRE debt as of May 2010.

In response to tremendous losses in CRE, the federal banking supervisors issued in October the Interagency Policy Statement on Prudent CRE Loan Workouts. The purpose was to promote supervisory consistency, enhance the transparency of CRE workout transactions, and ensure that supervisory policies and actions do not inadvertently curtail the availability of credit to sound borrowers.

When problems with CRE loans arise, bankers and borrowers often work together to restructure the loan. But CRE loan workout situations can present unique considerations, leaving bankers with more questions than answers under the federal guidance. So, on May 5, the Fed’s experts held a nationwide teleconference call to explain the guidance to bankers and to answer their questions. More than 1,300 financial institutions joined the call, submitting 60 questions for consideration.

The program was presented by Sabeth Siddique, assistant director of credit risk at the Federal Reserve’s Board of Governors, and his team, consisting of Robert Walker, Virginia Gibbs and Brian Valenti.

“The guidance is not a panacea for solving all of the challenges of management and resolution of troubled loans,” explained Siddique. “And it’s not meant to be any form of forbearance, but rather a reiteration of existing principles.”

The general guidance focuses on the following:

• promoting prudent workouts,
• recognizing that reasonable and prudent workouts are in the best interest of both banks and borrowers,

Good Loan Workouts Have Three Components

1. **Analyzing the borrower’s repayment capacity** – The analysis should demonstrate the borrower’s willingness and capacity to repay under reasonable modified terms.

2. **Evaluating the guarantor** – The guarantor should have both the capacity and willingness to provide ongoing support. The bank should have documentation to demonstrate the guarantor’s capacity to fulfill the obligation. The documentation should include a written and legally enforceable agreement.

3. **Assessing collateral value** – Consideration should be given to the reasonableness of the underlying assumption of the bank’s collateral valuation. Weaknesses in collateral valuations should be addressed, and the degree of collateral protection should be assessed.
Independence Is Best Route for Fed Accountability

By Julie Stackhouse

Bankers are well aware of the unprecedented actions taken by the Federal Reserve in the fall of 2008 to stem the downward spiral of the financial crisis. At various points in time, the Fed had more than $1.5 trillion outstanding in loans to financial institutions and, more recently, has purchased $1.25 trillion of mortgage-backed securities to stabilize the economy.

The magnitude of the Fed’s response to the financial crisis has caused some to question why the Fed has the freedom to engage in such actions without the explicit consent of Congress. This freedom to stabilize the financial system without political direction is commonly referred to as “central bank independence.”

Legislation recently passed by the House of Representatives could affect central bank independence by permitting frequent and ongoing reviews of monetary policy and financial stability decisions, deliberations and actions by the Government Accountability Office (GAO). Currently, monetary policy actions are not subject to GAO review.

The implications of such reviews are significant and concerning. GAO reviews of discount window loans, for example, could serve to dampen the willingness of banks to borrow from the discount window during periods of financial instability. Take, for example, the first two days following the tragic events of Sept. 11, 2001. If banks had been reluctant to use the discount window for fear of GAO disclosure, would our financial system have rebounded so quickly?

The implications for monetary policy effectiveness must be carefully weighed. The Federal Reserve’s ability to act in the long-run best interests of the economy depends importantly on its credibility and independence from short-term political pressures, including the temptation of governments to use the central bank to fund budget deficits or alter the way monetary policy is conducted. Numerous studies have shown that countries whose central banks are protected from short-term political influence have better economic performance, including lower inflation and interest rates.

Without question, the Federal Reserve should be accountable to the electorate for its actions. However, audits by the GAO are not the best way. Indeed, retaining the independence of the central bank may well be the best method for preventing government from misusing monetary policy for short-term political purposes.
Are District and U.S. Banks on the Mend?

By Michelle Neely

Profits strengthened at Eighth District banks and their national peers in the first quarter of 2010, an indicator that the industry may have hit a turning point. Return on average assets (ROA) climbed 49 basis points to 0.58 percent at District banks in the first quarter; at U.S. peer banks—those with average assets of less than $15 billion—ROA jumped 58 basis points and into positive territory, hitting 0.24 percent. (See table.) Smaller institutions continue to be more profitable than their larger counterparts. District banks with average assets of less than $1 billion averaged ROA of 0.76 percent in the first quarter; national peer banks in this size category recorded an average ROA of 0.43 percent.

The increase in profitability is the result of modest increases in net interest income and substantial declines in loan loss provisions and noninterest expenses. The net interest margin (NIM) rose at both sets of banks to 3.77 percent, an increase of 10 basis points for District banks and 12 basis points for U.S. peer banks. At both sets of banks, declines in interest income were more than offset by declines in interest expense, resulting in rising NIMs.

Net noninterest expense shrank 19 basis points at District banks and 12 basis points at U.S. peer banks. Although personnel and other noninterest expenses fell and noninterest income increased slightly, the primary factor driving down net noninterest expense was a large reduction in impairment losses for goodwill and other intangible assets, especially at institutions with assets of more than $1 billion.

A substantial reduction in loan loss provisions, however, was the dominant determinant for the large uptick in earnings. Loan loss provisions as a percent of average assets fell 30 basis points at District banks and a staggering 46 basis points at U.S. peer banks in the first quarter. Some of that decline no doubt reflects a ratcheting back of normal end-of-year accounting adjustments.

The drop in loan loss provisions does not seem to be related to improvements in asset quality, especially at the District level. The ratio of nonperforming loans to total loans rose 22 basis points to 3.08 percent in the first quarter at District banks and was up 10 basis points to 4.25 percent at U.S. peer banks. Among the three major categories of bank loans—real estate, commercial and industrial, and consumer—only consumer loans showed a drop in delinquency status. Nonperforming loan rates in the real estate portfolio continue to rise, especially in the commercial area. More than 11 percent of all District construction and land development loans were nonperforming at the end of March; for U.S. peer banks, the ratio
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Kentucky’s Economy Lags Behind Typical States’

Bankers Could Help by Encouraging Better Education

By Maria Gerwing Hampton

Kentucky’s economy has grown slower over the past decades when compared with the national averages, but there have been bright spots, noticeably in housing.

“By almost any measure, the Kentucky economy has grown much slower than the typical U.S. state,” says Ken Troske, director of the Center for Business and Economic Research at the University of Kentucky. “And it’s not just a regional issue, because Kentucky has grown much slower than other Southern states. While there are regional differences within the state, no region in Kentucky is more prosperous or has experienced faster growth than the typical U.S. state.”

Troske joined Paul Coomes, economist and professor at the University of Louisville, to give presentations on the state’s regional outlook during the St. Louis Fed’s Economic Teamwork event in Louisville in November. They provide an update for us here.

“While from 1929 to 1970 Kentucky closed the gap between itself and the rest of the country, since then the Kentucky economy has been stagnant or may have even reversed course,” Troske says.

Coomes’ research echoes Troske’s statements. Coomes examined population and job growth over the past three decades in nine economic areas in and around Kentucky. Economic areas are large regional markets, defined by the U.S. Bureau of Economic Analysis. These areas group together contiguous counties that are tied together by commuting, retail, transportation and media. All of the areas containing Kentucky counties also include counties in other states.

Coomes explains that population growth/loss and job growth/loss mirrored each other: If an economic area’s population contracted, so did the area’s job growth. The overall impression is one of a fairly robust economy down the north-south corridors around Interstates 65 and 75, particularly to the south, and of contraction at the far eastern and western parts of the state. (See Figures 1 and 2.)

Data show the steepest decline in manufacturing jobs between 1970 and 2008, while services jobs showed the greatest increase over the same period. While lagging the U.S. averages on population and job growth, Kentucky as a whole fared somewhat better in the housing market. “There was no sign of a housing bubble in any of the nine markets,” Coomes says. “The nine metro areas added a net of 225,000 housing units in the last decade, with a growth of 11 percent, the national average. However, occupied housing units only rose by 135,000, or 7 percent, also identical to the U.S. as a whole; so, vacancy rates have risen substantially in all markets except Bowling Green.”

To help understand why growth in Kentucky lags other states, Troske examined one area that has the potential to give the state an economic boost: the stock of knowledge, meaning the state’s innovative activity coupled with educational levels of the work force. Of all the factors that affect growth (demographics, local and state government and taxes, infrastructure, etc.), “the single biggest factor that explained why some states grow faster is the stock of knowledge in a state,” he says. “Comparing the stock of knowledge in Kentucky to the stock of knowledge in other states shows why Kentucky has performed so poorly over the recent period.”

Kentucky also ranks 48th in the country in the percent of adults with
a college degree. One of the primary reasons for the low percentage of college graduates in the state is the high dropout rate at the state’s post-secondary schools. In Kentucky, only 23 percent of students who start at a two-year college end up completing a degree compared with 28 percent in the typical state, while less than half the kids who start at a four-year college end up completing a degree compared with 56 percent for the rest of the country.

Business leaders in general, and community bankers in particular, played a major role in helping to pass the 1991 Kentucky Education Reform Act, which provided a kick-start to the reform of elementary and secondary education in the state. These leaders can play a similar role in reforming higher education in Kentucky.

“Bankers could start by urging all participants in the higher education market—students, administrators and politicians—to view education as an investment and to focus on the return of this investment instead of fixating on the initial cost of the investment,” Troske says. He also suggests that education leaders in the state need to be rewarded based on the number of kids who graduate from college and not just on the number who graduate from high school or the number of kids who enroll in college.

Troske concludes by saying that, “Only through a consistent, long-term commitment to increasing the number of college graduates in Kentucky can we reverse the decades-long decline in the state’s economy and begin catching up with the rest of the country.”

Maria Gerwing Hampton is the senior branch executive of the Louisville Branch of the Federal Reserve Bank of St. Louis.
In the current economy, owning a small business can be filled with many unknowns and risks for the owners, especially with available capital. Many new or expanding small businesses are being the largest source of private employment. To build companies locally instead of recruiting large corporations to the community, many economic development experts are relying on small businesses as the largest source of private employment.

Today, many businesses are struggling to stay afloat because of troubles in the financial services industry, which have led to more-restrictive lending policies. In late winter and early spring, the St. Louis Fed’s Community Affairs department helped address the financing needs of small businesses by gathering key stakeholders to share their perspectives on lending matters.

The St. Louis Fed’s meetings helped identify credit gaps in small-business financing and gathered information on regional differences in access to credit. Participants included representatives from community and national banks, political offices, and community and business groups from the St. Louis, Little Rock, Louisville, Memphis, and St. Louis zones. The key takeaways were similar, as participants generally agreed on the following:

- The economy continues to be an issue for small businesses, particularly with available capital and access to capital; consequently, many small businesses are more fragile. “The best customers we cater to are hunkering down, and we continue to support them in difficult times as best we can,” said one banker. He explained that if his bank can’t give a loan to a long-time customer, another bank won’t give that person a loan, either.
- For some, credit cards were their primary source of capital, but with some banks cutting credit card limits, owners are finding it harder to get other types of credit.
- Stricter underwriting standards are limiting the supply of loans to small business. Financial institutions have returned to more traditional underwriting standards, which are more dependent on equity and cash flow than on credit scores. At the St. Louis meeting, one financial institution representative described this as “getting back to lending basics in underwriting.”
- The demand for small-business support services and for assistance from small-business development centers is on the rise. Technical-assistance providers report that they are seeing a different type of client: Small-business owners who traditionally sought lending from banks are now seeking help from support-service providers and searching for alternative funding sources.
- Participants agreed that the U.S. Small Business Administration loan programs are great; however, most financial institutions have not taken advantage of the new programs and increased guarantees. Many bankers see SBA products as requiring too much preparation and monitoring of the loans as too cumbersome.
- Collaboration between financial institutions and support-service providers is needed to sustain small-business development. A referral system and better communication are needed between the organizations.

Findings from these local meetings are being combined with information collected from around the country. As a result of what the Fed learned, the Fed’s Board of Governors in August will share the findings and best practices and discuss future actions. In the Eighth District, the next step will be to bring together lenders, technical-
Navigate CRE Loan Workouts
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• expecting examiners to take a balanced and consistent approach in their review of banks’ workout activity, and

• understanding that restructured loans will not be adversely classified solely because the value of the underlying collateral has declined to an amount less than the loan balance.

In addition, financial institutions that implement prudent loan workout arrangements after performing comprehensive reviews of borrowers’ financial conditions will not be subject to criticism for engaging in these efforts, even if the restructured loans have weaknesses that result in adverse credit classifications.

“We’re sure you’ve heard this many times: ‘Prudent workouts’ means that each loan should be judged on its own merits and not on trends,” Siddique noted. “Prudent workouts are in everyone’s interest, but not all loans can be worked out. And bankers should keep in mind that ‘pretend and extend’ is not a prudent loan workout.”

> MORE ONLINE

Policy Statement on Prudent CRE Loan Workouts

CRE and Debt Problems
www.stlouisfed.org/publications/cb/articles/?id=1849

Are District Banks on the Mend?

continued from Page 3

topped 15 percent.

The large decline in loan loss provisions and continued increases in nonperforming loans put more downward pressure on the District’s coverage ratio (the ratio of loan loss reserves to nonperforming loans). The ratio declined 364 basis points to 62.42 percent, indicating about 62 cents are in reserve for every dollar of nonperforming loans. For U.S. peer banks, the coverage ratio increased slightly, but at 53.76 percent, remains well below the District’s ratio.

The District’s average leverage ratio remained virtually unchanged in the first quarter at 8.83 percent. For U.S. peer banks, the average leverage ratio rose 12 basis points to 9.14 percent.

Michelle Neely is an economist at the Federal Reserve Bank of St. Louis.

> MORE ONLINE

When Will Business Lending Pick Up?

Essentially, cash flow is king on loan workouts. Siddique urged his listeners to “decide whether a loan to a sound borrower should be adversely classified by determining whether well-defined weaknesses exist that jeopardize repayment.”

The federal guidance provides some detailed examples of loan workouts. As a general rule, banks should contact their chartering authority and/or their primary federal supervisor for answers to specific CRE loan workout questions. Bankers interested in listening to the online recording of this special “Ask the Fed” program may do so by contacting the Federal Reserve Bank of St. Louis at askthefed@stls.frb.org.

Lisa Locke is a community affairs specialist in the Louisville Branch of the Federal Reserve Bank of St. Louis.
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VIEWS
• Annual Report: Why the Fed Is a Well-Designed Central Bank
• Current State of the Banking Industry

TOOLS
• Follow the St. Louis Fed via Social Media
• Use This Easy Resource for Consumer Credit Conditions
• Fed Makes New Reports for Online Electronic Adjustments

RULES AND REGULATIONS
• Participate in the Fed’s Regulation C Public Hearings
• Agencies Issue Correspondent Concentration Risks Guidance
• Final Debit Cards and Overdraft Protection Rules Effective in July
• Final Stage of Credit Card Changes Coming in August

Reader Poll
New rules governing debit cards and overdrafts take effect this summer. The new rules are supposed to benefit consumers. Will the new rules make you more or less likely to use overdraft programs?

• More likely, because I like being able to opt in to overdraft services for my debit card and ATM transactions.
• Less likely, because opting in could lead me to overspend.
• The new rules won’t change my spending habits.

Take the poll at www.stlouisfed.org/publications/cb/. Results are not scientific and are for informational purposes only.

In the spring issue’s poll, we asked how often you use checks these days on a personal level. Based on 661 responses (percentages are rounded):

• 41 percent said they still use checks because they’re safer than electronic payments.
• 28 percent said they use a combination of checks, cash, credit/debit cards and electronic payments.
• 19 percent said they use them once or twice a month.
• 13 percent said they don’t use them anymore.

>> ONLY ONLINE
Read these features at www.stlouisfed.org/publications/cb/