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- What would a fiscal train wreck look like?
  - High inflation
  - Default on government debt
  - Drastic benefit cuts and tax increases
Overview of the Discussion

- Trends in federal spending and taxes relative to GDP
- How have we avoided a fiscal crisis so far?
- Can we achieve long-run fiscal sustainability?
Federal Non-Defense Spending-to-GDP Ratio Has Quadrupled Since 1945

Sources: Congressional Budget Office / Haver Analytics

Annual data through fiscal year 2012; 2013-23 projections as of Feb. 2013
Health and Retirement Spending Has Increased from Zero to 10 Percent of GDP

---

Spending relative to GDP (percent)

Sources: Congressional Budget Office / Haver Analytics
Annual data through fiscal year 2012

---

Reason for the increase in health and retirement spending:the Baby Boomer generation is aging, driving up healthcare costs. The graph shows the increase in spending from 1950 to 2012, with a significant rise in the spending relative to GDP, especially for Medicare and Social Security.
Health, Retirement and Interest Payments Are Projected to Rise Further

Under the CBO’s alternative scenario

- Ratio of all other spending to GDP (includes defense)
- Ratio of major healthcare programs to GDP
- Ratio of net interest expenditures to GDP
- Ratio of Social Security outlays to GDP

Sources: Congressional Budget Office / Haver Analytics

Forecasts from June 2012
Can We Slow Spending Growth?

1. The major federal programs are extremely popular.

- A March 24, 2013, CBS News poll asked respondents what cuts they would make to reduce the budget deficit. Of those giving an opinion:
  - 82 percent opposed reducing spending on Medicare.
  - 81 percent opposed reducing spending on Social Security.
  - 60 percent opposed reducing spending on defense.

Can We Slow Spending Growth?

2. Program cost drivers are very difficult or impossible to affect.
   - A substantial and permanent aging of the population is inevitable.
   - Health care costs have risen faster than GDP for many decades and are expected to continue to do so.
   - We are not winning the “war on poverty”—millions of U.S. citizens face genuine need.
Meanwhile, Federal Revenue-to-GDP Ratio Has Been Flat Since 1945

CBS News poll March 2013: 68 percent oppose personally paying more taxes.

Sources: Congressional Budget Office / Haver Analytics

Annual data through fiscal year 2012; 2013-23 projections as of Feb. 2013
Result: Budget Deficits Will Explode...

Under the CBO’s alternative scenario

- **Forecast**
  - Ratio of all federal spending to GDP
  - Annual federal budget deficit relative to GDP
  - Ratio of all federal revenues to GDP

Sources: Congressional Budget Office / Haver Analytics

Projections as of June 2012
... And Federal Debt Held by the Public Will Reach Dangerous Levels

Federal debt held by the public as a percentage of GDP

Sources: Congressional Budget Office / Haver Analytics

Annual data through fiscal year 2012; 2013-23 projections as of Feb. 2013

Both are unsustainable trajectories.

Even the CBO’s best (unrealistic) fiscal scenario results in long-run disaster.
So Why Haven’t We Had a Fiscal Crisis Already?

- An extended peace dividend
  - Defense spending was very high after World War II and Korea.
  - Even with Vietnam and defense buildups under Presidents Reagan and G.W. Bush, defense spending declined over decades relative to GDP.

- A demographic dividend
  - Baby Boomers (born 1946-64) swelled the labor force, boosting economic growth and tax revenues.
  - Women continued to join the paid labor force, boosting economic growth.
So Why Haven’t We Had a Fiscal Crisis Already?

- Some good luck and good policy in the 1980s and 1990s
  - Economic growth and the stock market were strong, reducing deficits.
  - The Cold War ended, allowing rapid demilitarization after the Reagan defense buildup.
  - Policy changes (tax increases, spending restraint, balanced-budget amendment) were less painful because of the first two pieces of good luck.
Defense Spending Continues to Decline From WW II Peak

Ratio of federal defense outlays to GDP
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Source: Office of Management and Budget / Haver Analytics

Annual data through fiscal year 2012; 2013-23 projections as of Feb. 2013
Not Much Defense Left To Cut!

Ratio of federal defense outlays to GDP

Source: Office of Management and Budget / Haver Analytics

Annual data through fiscal year 2012; 2013-23 projections as of Feb. 2013
The Demographic Dividend Will Become a Demographic Deficit

Share of population aged 16-64

Sources: Census Bureau / Haver Analytics
Annual data through 2012; projections as of Jan. 2013
One Consequence: Our Economic Growth Potential Has Declined Significantly

Five-Year Trailing Average of CBO Estimate of Real Potential GDP Growth

Annualized percent change

Percent

Source: Congressional Budget Office / Haver Analytics

Annual data through 2012; CBO projections of 2013-24 as of Feb. 2013
In Light of These Obstacles, Can We Achieve Long-Run Fiscal Sustainability?

Neither of the political factions nor the public are willing to address political and economic reality.

- **The irresistible fiscal force:**
  One faction will not admit that the welfare state is extremely popular and cannot be significantly curtailed through democratic means.

- **The immovable fiscal object:**
  The other faction will not admit that the tax revenues needed to pay for the ever-expanding welfare state are neither politically nor economically feasible under current tax system.
What Is a Fiscal Crisis?

- History is full of countries that lost control of their fiscal situations, ending in crisis.
  - Default on sovereign debt is more common than you might think.
    - For example, Spain has defaulted 15 times during the last 450 years and may do so again in the near future!
Implicit Defaults Are Defaults, Too

- Implicit default on debt through inflation or currency devaluation is less obvious, but no less common.
  - The United States effectively reneged on its financial promises three times during the 20th century:
    - Devalued the dollar against gold in 1933
    - Broke the link between the dollar and other currencies in 1971
    - Inflated away most of the purchasing power of a dollar
Implicit Default: One Dollar in 1933 Is Worth 5.6 Cents Today

Purchasing Power of One Dollar, in Cents

Base period is 1933

Purchasing-power index: What one dollar could buy in each year, relative to the purchasing power of one dollar in 1933

Sources: Bureau of Labor Statistics / Haver Analytics
Annual data through 2012
Reasons Not To Worry

- The U.S. government will not default on its debt in the foreseeable future.
  - The U.S. Treasury can borrow for 30 years at less than 3 percent.
- The Federal Reserve will not allow inflation to surge in the foreseeable future.
  - Investors demand a tiny 2.5 percentage points of annual compensation for inflation risk during the next 30 years.
Reasons To Worry

- These “easy” ways to escape an unbearable fiscal burden (explicit default and high inflation) are off the table. The only way to achieve fiscal sustainability is through political action.
- But our political system currently seems gridlocked—and is designed to be resistant to change.
- Most members of the public do not want to sacrifice.
We Need Two Big Changes To Achieve Long-Run Fiscal Sustainability

- Our political leaders must help the public understand spending and taxing realities.
  - Health care costs and the aging population are the main cost drivers.
  - Tax reform is needed to raise more revenue.
- The public must agree to make sacrifices—not all equally, but everyone should be involved.
Changing the Discussion: Be Honest About the Cost of Benefits

- A step in the direction of fiscal sustainability: Separate the insurance function of government from redistribution.
  - Make the actual cost of government insurance programs transparent so that everyone knows how much it costs to provide services—especially health care and retirement annuities.
  - If we decide to subsidize some recipients, make that transparent, too.
  - Bottom line—discuss insurance and redistribution separately.
In Sum: Can We Achieve Long-Run Fiscal Sustainability?

- Federal non-defense spending and tax revenues are, respectively, an irresistible force and an immovable object.
- We’ve avoided fiscal crisis since 1945 by declining defense spending and the youth of the Baby Boomers.
- Those fixes are gone.
In Sum: Can We Achieve Long-Run Fiscal Sustainability?

- The only plausible route to long-run fiscal sustainability is through political courage and leadership and public acceptance of the need for shared sacrifice.
- A more transparent separation of government’s insurance functions from redistribution would help, too.