Balance sheet policy is ordinary monetary policy

- How should stabilization policy be conducted once short-term nominal interest rates are effectively zero?
- The answer is that the central bank should pursue a balance sheet policy which substitutes for movements in short-term interest rates.
- The purchase and sale of liquid assets, such as Treasury securities, is very similar to ordinary monetary policy, except that a particular nominal interest rate target is not set.
- Balance sheet policy, like all monetary policy, should be conducted in a state-contingent way.
Has QE2 been effective?

- Reagan: An economist is a person who sees something work in reality and asks, “I wonder if that works in theory?”

- QE2 worked in reality, and I will provide evidence on this.

- I will also provide some responses to various theoretical objections.
QE2: What Happened?
The basic story

- Ordinary monetary policy would lower short-term nominal interest rates during periods of economic weakness, but those rates have been near zero since December 2008.
- Asset purchases at longer maturities can substitute for ordinary monetary policy.
- This puts downward pressure on nominal interest rates further out the yield curve, along with upward pressure on expected inflation.
- Accordingly, the policy puts downward pressure on real interest rates.
Quantitative easing timeline

- November 25, 2008: FOMC announces purchases of $100bn agency debt + $500bn agency MBS.
  - Other liquidity programs also have effects on the balance sheet during this period; these programs mostly end by Q1 2010.
- March 18, 2009: FOMC announces purchases of $100bn agency debt + $750bn agency MBS + $300bn long-term Treasury debt.
- This talk focuses mostly on “QE2,” the November 2010 decision.
The Federal Reserve balance sheet
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QE2: Motivation
Motivation for QE2

- Japanese experience with mild deflation and a near-zero nominal interest rate has been poor.
- Inflation was close to the implicit FOMC inflation target during the first part of 2010.
- During 2010, a renewed disinflation trend developed.
- The recovery slowed down during the summer of 2010.
- These developments left the U.S. at risk of a Japanese-style outcome.
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Existing monetary policy

- Near-zero policy rate.
- Large balance sheet.
- “Extended period” language for near-zero policy rate.
- Conventional wisdom reaction to a negative shock: *lengthen the “extended period.”*
- Could such a policy be counter-productive, sending the U.S. to the Japanese-style outcome?
Benhabib, Schmitt-Grohe, and Uribe

Consider a model with three generic features:

- A Fisher relation.
- A monetary authority that follows a Taylor-type policy rule.
- The zero bound on nominal interest rates.

Models with these features possess an unintended steady state.

- The unintended steady state is characterized by:
  - Short-term nominal interest rates at or near zero.
  - Inflation consistently below target.
Interest rates and inflation in Japan and the U.S.
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Reactions to Benhabib et al.

- Macroeconomists and policymakers are generally very fragmented on this issue.
- Reactions are both formal and informal.
  - Denial.
  - Learnability.
  - Appeal to the FOMC experience in 2003.
  - Discontinuity.
  - Traditional.
  - Fiscal expansion.
  - Deterministic paths for the policy rate. (SGU, NBER #16514)
  - QE.
QE2: Was It Effective?
What the FOMC did

- The FOMC began replacing the run-off of the balance sheet in August 2010.
- Markets began pricing in additional action after the Chairman’s Jackson Hole speech later in August.
- The decision to take additional action was made at the November 2010 FOMC meeting.
- Most effects were already priced into financial markets at that point.
The effects of QE2 in financial markets

- The financial market effects of QE2 looked the same as if the FOMC had reduced the policy rate substantially.
- In particular, real interest rates declined, inflation expectations rose, the dollar depreciated, and equity prices rose.
- These are the “classic” financial market effects one might observe when the Fed eases monetary policy in ordinary times (that is, in an interest rate targeting environment).
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The dollar depreciated
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Real interest rates declined
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Equity prices increased

Classic monetary policy easing

- This experience shows that monetary policy can be eased aggressively even when the policy rate is near zero.

- Effects on the real economy would be expected to lag by six to twelve months.
  - Real effects are difficult to disentangle because other shocks hit the economy in the meantime.
  - That has apparently happened during the first half of 2011.
  - This is a standard problem in the evaluation of monetary policy.
QE2: Theoretical Objections
Versions of Modigliani-Miller arguments

- The main idea is that open market operations are swaps of one type of government liability for another and should have limited or no impact on resource allocation.
- This argument has been around for a long time and applies to all conventional monetary policy, not specifically to QE.
Woodford arguments

- Curdia and Woodford (FRB-St. Louis Review).
- Temporary increases in the balance sheet are irrelevant, more permanent increases might matter more.
- Emphasizes the date that the policy rate moves off of the zero bound as the key policy instrument.
  - One motivation for “extended period” language.
- QE2 could be viewed as a more effective way to communicate on the date of moving off the zero bound.
- Generally does not address Benhabib et al.
The inflation risk argument

- The QE policy causes a large build-up of monetary base.
- This could cause a lot of inflation.
- However, there are no other effects.

Response.
- This should lead to higher expected inflation.
- With the policy rate at zero, real interest rates should fall.
- Hard to argue such a policy is neutral.

Does not address Benhabib et al.
Conclusions
Conclusions

- QE2 has shown that the Fed can conduct an effective monetary stabilization policy even when policy rates are near zero.
Welcome to the conference

- I welcome the speakers and discussants who have agreed to share their insights with us today.
- I would like to thank Chris Neely and Dan Thornton for organizing this conference.
- I trust that we will all learn quite a lot.
- Thank you for being here and have a great conference.
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