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Introduction
Main idea

• In this talk, I will lay out a possible strategy for extending the U.S. economic expansion.

• This strategy relies on placing more weight on market signals than has been customary in past U.S. monetary policy strategy.

• By “market signals,” I am explicitly referring to information from the yield curve and from market-based measures of inflation expectations.
Empirical Phillips curve relationships have largely broken down in the last two decades. Many current approaches to monetary policy strategy continue to over-emphasize these now-defunct empirics. An alternative to the Phillips curve is for monetary policymakers to put more weight on financial market signals, such as the slope of the yield curve and market-based inflation expectations. Handled properly, these signals could help the Federal Open Market Committee (FOMC) better identify the neutral policy rate and possibly extend the U.S. economic expansion.
The Disappearing Phillips Curve
The inflation targeting era

• I like to divide the U.S. postwar era into pre-1995 and post-1995.
• Around 1995, the U.S. inflation rate reached 2 percent and U.S inflation expectations stabilized near that value.
• I interpret this as the U.S. having an implicit inflation target of 2 percent after 1995—the inflation targeting era—whereas inflation expectations were less well anchored prior to that date.
• The FOMC named an explicit inflation target of 2 percent in 2012.
The disappearing Phillips curve

• The post-1995 time frame in the U.S. coincides with a global movement among central banks toward inflation targeting that began in the early 1990s.

• During this period, the 2 percent inflation target became an international standard.

• Once inflation expectations began to stabilize around this international standard, the empirical relationship between inflation and unemployment—the so-called “Phillips curve”—began to disappear. That relationship had previously been prominent.*

Empirical evidence on the Phillips curve

• The following chart shows the coefficient on a measure of resource slack (unemployment) in a regression of price inflation on resource utilization.
  o The analysis is contained in the 2016/17 BIS annual report.
  o The data are for a panel of G-7 economies.
  o The coefficient is estimated for rolling 15-year samples, from the 1980s to the present.
  o The point estimate is a weighted average across economies.
Flattening of the Phillips curve in G-7 economies

Time-Varying Phillips Curve Slope

The conventional wisdom in current U.S. monetary policy suggests that the policy rate should continue to rise in order to contain any rise in inflationary pressures.

However, in the current era of inflation targeting, neither low unemployment nor faster real GDP growth gives a reliable signal of inflationary pressure because those empirical relationships have broken down.

Continuing to raise the policy rate in such an environment could cause the FOMC to go too far, raising recession risk unnecessarily.

What can be done?
Using Financial Market Signals
An alternative set of signals

• An alternative to taking signals from the level of unemployment or the pace of economic growth is to consider financial market information.
• Generally speaking, financial market information suggests that current monetary policy is neutral or even somewhat restrictive today.
• Specifically, the yield curve is quite flat, and market-based inflation expectations, adjusted to a personal consumption expenditures (PCE) basis, remain somewhat below the FOMC’s 2 percent target.
• Financial market information suggests the policy rate path in the June 2018 Summary of Economic Projections (SEP) is too hawkish for the current macroeconomic environment.
Nominal yield curve flattening
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Slope of the yield curve as a predictor of economic activity

• The slope of the yield curve is considered a good predictor of future real economic activity in the U.S.*

• This is true both in empirical academic research and in more casual assessments, such as the next chart.

An inverted yield curve helps predict recessions

Sources: Federal Reserve Board and author’s calculations. Last observation: Week of August 22, 2018. The shaded areas indicate NBER recessions.
Alternative term spreads

• The previous chart is based on the spread between the 10-year Treasury yield and the one-year Treasury yield. One could consider alternative term spreads and other information.*

• However, various term spreads tend to be highly correlated, so switching to somewhat different measures tends not to change the broad macroeconomic interpretation.

• The 10-year Treasury yield is a bellwether rate determined mostly by market forces, and the one-year is closely related to Fed policy. An inversion suggests a very different outlook at the Fed versus in the market.

* See P. Johansson and A. Meldrum, “Predicting Recession Probabilities Using the Slope of the Yield Curve,” FEDS Notes, March 1, 2018.
Market-based inflation expectations

• The inflation compensation derived from Treasury Inflation-Protected Securities (TIPS) is based on headline consumer price index (CPI) inflation.

• The FOMC’s 2 percent inflation target is in terms of the annual change in the price index for PCE.

• Historically, CPI inflation has run somewhat higher than PCE inflation, with an adjustment of about 30 basis points at an annual rate.*

• Other factors can influence TIPS-based expected inflation.

* This adjustment is conservative. The difference between CPI and PCE inflation since January 1960 was, on average, 46 basis points.
Market-based inflation expectations remain low

Source: Federal Reserve Board. Last observations: Aug. 31 (breakeven inflation rates) and Aug. 24, 2018.
The message from financial markets

• The yield curve information suggests that financial markets do not see excessive real growth or excessive inflationary pressure over the forecast horizon.

• The TIPS-based inflation compensation data suggest that markets do not expect the FOMC to achieve the 2 percent inflation target on average on a PCE basis over the next decade.
Strengths and Weaknesses of Financial Market Information
A forward-looking strategy

• More directly considering financial market information naturally constitutes a forward-looking monetary policy strategy—arguably more than considering the current level of unemployment.

• One of the great strengths of financial market information is that markets are forward-looking and have taken into account all available information when determining prices.

• Thus, markets have made a judgment on the effects of the fiscal package in the U.S., ongoing trade discussions and a myriad of other factors in determining current prices.
Financial markets and the Fed

• Financial markets are also pricing in future Fed policy, which creates some feedback to actual Fed policy if policymakers are taking signals from financial markets.

• This has to be handled carefully: Ideally, there would be a fixed point between Fed communications and market-based expectations of future Fed policy.

• Generally speaking, markets have currently priced in a more dovish policy than indicated by the FOMC’s SEP—they expect the Fed to be more dovish than announced, but that is still not expected to be enough to maintain the inflation target!
Caveats on financial market signals

• To be sure, financial market information is not infallible, and markets can only do so much in attempting to predict future macroeconomic performance.

• Nevertheless, the empirical evidence on yield curve inversion in the U.S. is relatively strong, and TIPS-based inflation expectations have generally been correct in predicting subdued inflationary pressures in recent years.

• Therefore, both policymakers and market professionals need to take these financial market signals seriously.
Risks and Opportunities
Risks

• The two traditional risks for monetary policy are increased probability of recession versus upward inflationary pressure.

• Yield curve inversion would likely increase the vulnerability of the economy to recession.

• An inflation outbreak is possible but seems unlikely at this point. By closely monitoring market-based inflation expectations, the FOMC can keep inflationary pressure under close surveillance.

• Financial stability risks are generally considered moderate at this juncture. Arguably, these are being addressed through Dodd-Frank and related initiatives, including stress testing.
Opportunities

• The current expansion dating from the 2007-2009 recession has been long and subdued on average. The slow pace of growth suggests the expansion could have much further to go.

• The strong performance of current labor markets could entice marginally attached workers back to work, increasing skills and enhancing resiliency before the next downturn.
Uncertainty

• Another long-standing issue in macroeconomics is how to think about parameter uncertainty, or more broadly, model uncertainty.
• Brainard (1967) suggested that when model parameters are in doubt, policy should be more cautious than otherwise.*
• Hansen and Sargent (2008) suggested that, in some cases, policymakers may want to be more aggressive than otherwise.†
• This remains an important unresolved issue, but how to handle parameter uncertainty has been a concern for the FOMC for years.

Conclusion
Conclusion

• U.S. monetary policymakers should put more weight than usual on financial market signals in the current macroeconomic environment due to the breakdown of the empirical Phillips curve.

• Handled properly, current financial market information can provide the basis for a better forward-looking monetary policy strategy.

• The flattening yield curve and subdued market-based inflation expectations suggest that the current monetary policy stance is already neutral or possibly somewhat restrictive.
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