The College Wealth Divide Evidence from the Historical Survey of Consumer Finances 1949 - 2016

Alina Bartscher Moritz Kuhn Moritz Schularick

Is College Still Worth It?

Symposium at the St. Louis Federal Reserve Bank

May 24, 2018

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ 三三 - のへぐ

• Wealth and income inequality are at historical highs

- Wealth and income inequality are at historical highs
- Rising college wage premium driver of rising income inequality

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

- Wealth and income inequality are at historical highs
- Rising *college wage premium* driver of rising income inequality

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■ ●の00

Education turned into a key stratifying dimension in U.S. society

- Wealth and income inequality are at historical highs
- Rising *college wage premium* driver of rising income inequality
- Education turned into a key stratifying dimension in U.S. society
- Data limitations impede studying long-run wealth differences across education groups

• Study income and wealth differences using *Historical Survey* of Consumer Finances (HSCF)

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ 三三 - のへぐ

- Study income and wealth differences using *Historical Survey* of Consumer Finances (HSCF)
- HSCF data covers entire distribution of income and wealth together with demographics (education, martial status, ...)

- Study income and wealth differences using *Historical Survey* of Consumer Finances (HSCF)
- HSCF data covers entire distribution of income and wealth together with demographics (education, martial status, ...)
- Document trends of income and wealth differences between college and non-college households

- Study income and wealth differences using *Historical Survey* of Consumer Finances (HSCF)
- HSCF data covers entire distribution of income and wealth together with demographics (education, martial status, ...)
- Document trends of income and wealth differences between college and non-college households
- Study distribution of college households along the wealth distribution

- Study income and wealth differences using *Historical Survey* of Consumer Finances (HSCF)
- HSCF data covers entire distribution of income and wealth together with demographics (education, martial status, ...)
- Document trends of income and wealth differences between college and non-college households
- Study distribution of college households along the wealth distribution
- Explore determinants of wealth differences between households

 Survey of Consumer Finances (SCF) most widely used data for distribution of income and wealth

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ 三 のへぐ

 Survey of Consumer Finances (SCF) most widely used data for distribution of income and wealth

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■ ●の00

• "Modern" SCF data exist since 1983

 Survey of Consumer Finances (SCF) most widely used data for distribution of income and wealth

- "Modern" SCF data exist since 1983
- Historical survey data exists for 1949 to 1977

 Survey of Consumer Finances (SCF) most widely used data for distribution of income and wealth

- "Modern" SCF data exist since 1983
- Historical survey data exists for 1949 to 1977
- Link and harmonize historical and modern SCFs

- Survey of Consumer Finances (SCF) most widely used data for distribution of income and wealth
- "Modern" SCF data exist since 1983
- Historical survey data exists for 1949 to 1977
- Link and harmonize historical and modern SCFs
- Newly compiled HSCF data provide representative household data on income, wealth, and demographics for period from 1949 to 2016

 Newly compiled HSCF micro data match macro trends from NIPA and FFA

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ 三三 - のへぐ

 Newly compiled HSCF micro data match macro trends from NIPA and FFA

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

Diverging income trends in line with previous research

 Newly compiled HSCF micro data match macro trends from NIPA and FFA

- Diverging income trends in line with previous research
- Strongly increasing wealth divide between college and non-college households

- Newly compiled HSCF micro data match macro trends from NIPA and FFA
- Diverging income trends in line with previous research
- Strongly increasing wealth divide between college and non-college households
- Share of college-educated households relatively constant across wealth groups

- Newly compiled HSCF micro data match macro trends from NIPA and FFA
- Diverging income trends in line with previous research
- Strongly increasing wealth divide between college and non-college households
- Share of college-educated households relatively constant across wealth groups
- Rising stock prices appear as driver of college wealth divide

 Historical SCF files so far not systematically coded

Column Number

- 1-2 Study Number (59)
- 3 Card Number (5)
- 4-7 Interview Number
- Income (of S.U.) from wages and salaries (for non self-employed on) 8-10

 - 000. 'No income from wages and salaries \$199,949 Y00. Uage and salary income exceeds \$999,949 (nacord in y book)

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQ@

- X00. Wage and salary income not ascertained
- COX. Not ascertained whether had wage and salary income in 1949 COX. But ascertained whether had wage and salary income in 1949 COY. Juntant from torse all salaries has them \$50

11 Income of S.U. from roomers and boarders, excluding from related secondaries

- 1. \$1 99
- 2. \$100 h99
- 3. 5500 999 L. #1000 - 1999
- 5. \$2000 2999
- 6. \$3000 4999
- 7. 85000 9999
- \$10,000 and over
- 0. No income from this source
- Y. N.A. whether income from this source
- X. Income from this source, N.A. amount
- 12 Income of S.U. from other rent
 - 1. 11 99

 - 3. \$500 99800

- Historical SCF files so far not systematically coded
- Major harmonization exercise: extract detailed data on income, assets, and debt

Column Number

- 1-2 Study Number (59)
- 3 Card Number (5)
- 4-7 Interview Number
- 8-10 Income (of S.U.) from wages and salaries (for non self-employed on)
 - 000. 'No income from mages and salaries \$199,949 Y00. Wage and salary income exceeds \$99,949 (*Jacob*) in y book)
 - X00. Wage and salary income not ascertained
 - COX. Not ascertained whether had wage and salary income in 1949

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQで

11 Income of S.U. from roomers and boarders, excluding from related secondaries

- 1. \$1 99 2. \$100 - h99 3. 5500 - 999 ALCOD - 1999 5. \$2000 - 2995
- 6. \$3000 L999
- \$5000 9999
- \$10,000 and over
- 0. No income from this source
- Y. N.A. whether income from this source
- X. Income from this source, N.A. amount

12 Income of S.U. from other rent

- 1. 11 99
- 3. \$500 999

- Historical SCF files so far not systematically coded
- Major harmonization exercise: extract detailed data on income, assets, and debt
- Impute missing variables over time

Column

- 1-2 Study Number (59)
- 3 Card Number (5)
- 4-7 Interview Number
- 8-10 Income (of S.U.) from wages and salaries (for non self-employed on
 - 000. 'to income from sugar and salaries 3: 97, 949. 100. Usgo and salary income streads 309/mik (Accord in y book) 100. Usgo and salary income not ascortained 100. Usgo and salary income not ascortained 100. John September had race and palary income in 1969 004. Oceans from cover all selection for the 1960

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQで

11 Income of S.U. from roomers and boarders, excluding from related secondaries

- 1. 81 99 2. 8100 - 1699 3. 1500 - 939 5. 10000 - 1939 5. 13000 - 1939 5. 13000 - 1939 7. 15000 - 939
 - 1. 11 99

12

3. \$500 - 999

- Historical SCF files so far not systematically coded
- Major harmonization exercise: extract detailed data on income, assets, and debt
- Impute missing variables over time
- Re-weight for representativeness

Column

- 1-2 Study Number (59)
- 3 Card Number (5)
- 4-7 Interview Number
- 8-10 Income (of S.U.) from wages and salaries (for non self-employed on:
 - 000. 'No income from sugers and ealartes \$19, 7, 747 700. 'Unge and ealarty income secold 3977/06 (accord, in y keek) 700. 'Unge and ealarty income not ascertained 700. 'Due secold and ealarty income in 1969 700. 'Due secold according to the second act of the second 700. 'Due second from comes all second act of the second act of the 700. 'Due second from comes all second act of the second act of the 700. 'Due second from comes all second act of the second ac

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQで

- 11 Income of S.U. from roomers and boarders, excluding from related secondaries
 - 1. 11. 97 2. 1100 L69 3. 1500 - 979 4. 1000 - 1979 5. 15000 - 2577 7. 55000 - 5777 7. 55000 - 5777 7. 55000 - 5777 7. 55000 - 5777 7. 150000 - 5777 7. 150000 - 5777
 - 1. 11 99

- 3. \$500 999
- R. 81000-7130

- Historical SCF files so far not systematically coded
- Major harmonization exercise: extract detailed data on income, assets, and debt
- Impute missing variables over time
- Re-weight for representativeness
- Re-weight for non-response at the top

Column Number

- 1-2 Study Number (59)
- 3 Card Number (5)
- 4-7 Interview Number
- 8-10 Income (of S.U.) from wages and salaries (for non self-employed on:
 - 000. Yo income from mages and ealartes \$19,9,949 700. Wage and ealary income socieds 3997/WG income (in y kerk) 700. Wage and ealary income not assortiated 700. Use and ealary income not assortiated 700. Alartes prime income of an and alary income in 1969 700. You have the income of astortian the 1960
- 11 Income of S.U. from roomers and boarders, excluding from related secondaries

	1. \$1 - 99
	2. \$100 - 499
	3. 9500 - 999
	h. #1000 - 1999
	5. \$2000 - 2999
	 \$3000 - 1999
	7. 85000 - 9999
	8. \$10,000 and over
	0. No income from this source
	Y. N.A. whether income from this source
	X. Income from this source, N.A. amount
12	Income of S.U. from other rent

- 1. 1100 499
- 3. 0500 999

1. *Income :* wages and salaries, professional practice and self employment, rental income, interest, dividends, business and farm income, transfer payments

1. Income

2. **Assets:** liquid assets (CDs, checking, saving, call/money market accounts), housing and other real estate, bonds, stocks, mutual funds, corporate and non-corporate equity, retirement accounts

- 1. Income
- 2. Assets
- 3. **Debt** : housing debt, car loans, education loans, and loans for consumer durables, credit card debt, and other non-housing debt

- 1. Income
- 2. Assets
- 3. Debt
- 4. Wealth : consolidated household balance sheet

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ 三三 - のへぐ

Micro data and macro trends: Income



Micro data match macroeconomic income trends from NIPA

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQで

Micro data and macro trends: Wealth



 Micro data match macroeconomic wealth trends from Flow of Funds

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQで

Income inequality



Income concentration at the top matches results from tax data

(日)

э

Wealth inequality



 Wealth concentration at the top matches results from capitalizing income tax data

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQで

College and non-college households

 Group households in education groups according to education of household head

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

College and non-college households

 Group households in education groups according to education of household head

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ 三 のへぐ

- Household head is male in a married couple

College and non-college households

 Group households in education groups according to education of household head

- Household head is male in a married couple
- Distinguish households in college and non-college
College and non-college households

- Group households in education groups according to education of household head
- Household head is male in a married couple
- Distinguish households in college and non-college
- Consider only college graduates ("some college" not included)

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■ ●の00

Comparison to Census Data

 Share of college-headed households from HSCF matches Census data



Income divide

No real income growth for non-college households since 1971



Income divide

- No real income growth for non-college households since 1971
- 50% increase of income divide between college and non-college households



Wealth divide

Meager wealth growth of non-college households since 1971



(日)

ж

Wealth divide

- Meager wealth growth of non-college households since 1971
- Tripling of wealth for college households



(日)

э

Wealth and income growth

Small increase of wealth-to-income ratio for non-college households



Wealth and income growth

- Small increase of wealth-to-income ratio for non-college households
- Much stronger wealth than income growth for college households



Share of college households increased from 15% to 34%

- Share of college households increased from 15% to 34%
- Share among bottom 50% of wealth distribution increased from 9% to 21%



- Share of college households increased from 15% to 34%
- Share among 50%-90% of wealth distribution increased from 15% to 40%



- Share of college households increased from 15% to 34%
- Share among top 10% of wealth distribution increased from 38% to 76%



(日) (四) (日) (日) (日)

College households across wealth groups



(日)

э

College households across wealth groups



 Distribution of college and non-college households along the wealth distribution roughly stable

A D > A P > A B > A B >

э

Non-college households across wealth groups



 Distribution of college and non-college households along the wealth distribution roughly stable

・ロト ・ 国 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

3

Wealth and income divide

 Ratios of wealth and income between college and non-college roughly constant until 1970



Wealth and income divide

- Ratios of wealth and income between college and non-college roughly constant until 1970
- College income divide +50% since 1970



Wealth and income divide

- Ratios of wealth and income between college and non-college roughly constant until 1970
- College income divide +50% since 1970
- College wealth divide +100% since 1970



・ロト ・ 国 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

Accounting for divergent wealth growth

- Income growth leads to wealth growth
- Simplest case: constant wealth-to-income ratio over time

$$\frac{W_{t+1}}{Y_{t+1}} = \frac{W_t}{Y_t} : Y_{t+1} = 2 \times Y_t \implies W_{t+1} = 2 \times W_t$$

College income grew relative to non-college income

$$\frac{Y^{C}_{1970}}{Y^{N}_{1970}} \approx 2 \quad \nearrow \quad \frac{Y^{C}_{2010}}{Y^{N}_{2010}} \approx 3 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \frac{W^{C}_{2010}}{W^{N}_{2010}} \approx 3$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■ ●の00

- Simplest case: College wealth growths 50% more than non-college wealth
- Half of wealth growth for college households unexplained

Regress income growth on wealth growth

$$\frac{W_t^i}{\overline{W}_{1971}^j} = \alpha \frac{Y_t^i}{\overline{Y}_{1971}^j} + \beta \times \mathsf{age}_t^i + \gamma_t \left(\mathsf{year} \times \mathsf{college}_t^i\right) + \varepsilon_{i,t}$$

with j for college and non-college



Regress income growth on wealth growth

$$\frac{W_t^i}{\overline{W}_{1971}^j} = \alpha \frac{Y_t^i}{\overline{Y}_{1971}^j} + \beta \times \mathsf{age}_t^i + \gamma_t \left(\mathsf{year} \times \mathsf{college}_t^i\right) + \varepsilon_{i,t}$$

with j for college and non-college



• Increasing residual "college effect" γ_t over time

 Control for income growth by conditioning on position in income distribution (50% - 90%)

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ 三三 - のへぐ

 Control for income growth by conditioning on position in income distribution (50% - 90%)

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■ ●の00

• Restrict sample to age 40 to 60 to control for age

- Control for income growth by conditioning on position in income distribution (50% - 90%)
- Restrict sample to age 40 to 60 to control for age
- Same residual "college effect" starting to shown up around 1980s



Long-run wealth divide

Averaging data to decades shows rising college wealth divide since 1980s



Long-run wealth divide

- Averaging data to decades shows rising college wealth divide since 1980s
- Increasing wealth divide not driven by income or age



Asset prices changes alternative force for wealth growth

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ 三三 - のへぐ

- Asset prices changes alternative force for wealth growth
- Capital gains from asset prices unrelated to income dynamics

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ 三 のへぐ

- Asset prices changes alternative force for wealth growth
- Capital gains from asset prices unrelated to income dynamics
- Portfolio heterogeneity and different asset price exposure lead to differences in capital gains

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■ ●の00

- Asset prices changes alternative force for wealth growth
- Capital gains from asset prices unrelated to income dynamics
- Portfolio heterogeneity and different asset price exposure lead to differences in capital gains
- Large part of wealth divergence during stock market boom of 1990s

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■ ●の00

Portfolio composition

 Small differences between college and non-college households for housing



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ ─臣 ─のへで

Portfolio composition

- Small differences between college and non-college households for housing
- College households increased equity wealth especially during 1990s



◆□ > ◆□ > ◆豆 > ◆豆 > ̄豆 = のへで

Wealth growth and asset prices

- In 1989 college households own 7.6 times more stock market wealth than non-college households
- Large capital gains for stock owners during stock market boom of the 1990s



Stock market wealth

 Initial difference in level of stock holdings translates into large difference in capital gains



Stock prices and wealth divide

- Stock market growth strongly correlates with estimated "college effect" γ_t



Stock market and wealth dynamics

Regress "college effect" on stock price growth P_t

$$\gamma_t = \alpha + \phi \left(\frac{P_t}{\overline{P}_{1970}}\right) + \hat{\gamma}_t$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ 三三 - のへぐ
Stock market and wealth dynamics

Regress "college effect" on stock price growth P_t

$$\gamma_t = \alpha + \phi \left(\frac{P_t}{\overline{P}_{1970}}\right) + \hat{\gamma}_t$$

• Residual "college effect" $\hat{\gamma}_t$ shows no time trend



▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲臣▶ ▲臣▶ = 臣 - のへで

Wealth growth of college households exceeds income growth

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □▶ □ のへぐ

Wealth growth of college households exceeds income growth

Widening wealth divide between college and non-college households

- Wealth growth of college households exceeds income growth
- Widening wealth divide between college and non-college households
- Estimated "college effect" correlates strongly with stock prices

- Wealth growth of college households exceeds income growth
- Widening wealth divide between college and non-college households
- Estimated "college effect" correlates strongly with stock prices

・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・

• Wealth growth differences explained by stock price changes

- Wealth growth of college households exceeds income growth
- Widening wealth divide between college and non-college households
- Estimated "college effect" correlates strongly with stock prices

- Wealth growth differences explained by stock price changes
- Asset prices important driver of wealth dynamics

New micro data on the long-run evolution of U.S. households' financial situation

Additional slides

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ 三三 - のへぐ

- New micro data on the long-run evolution of U.S. households' financial situation
- Differential wealth growth of college and non-college households

Additional slides

▲ロ ▶ ▲周 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ● の Q @

- New micro data on the long-run evolution of U.S. households' financial situation
- Differential wealth growth of college and non-college households
- Large part of wealth growth of college households not due to income growth



▲ロ ▶ ▲周 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ● の Q @

- New micro data on the long-run evolution of U.S. households' financial situation
- Differential wealth growth of college and non-college households
- Large part of wealth growth of college households not due to income growth
- Evidence points towards large capital gains from stock market for college households

Additional slides

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQで

Additional slides

The College Wealth Divide

Evidence from the

Historical Survey of Consumer Finances 1949 - 2016

Is College Still Worth It?

Symposium at the St. Louis Federal Reserve Bank

May 24, 2018

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ 三 のへぐ

Consider demographic characteristics of household heads

- Consider demographic characteristics of household heads
- Match Census population shares for age, education, and race

- Consider demographic characteristics of household heads
- Match Census population shares for age, education, and race
- Adjust survey weights using 24 demographic cells



イロト 不得 トイヨト イヨト

-

Age group 25 - 34

- Consider demographic characteristics of household heads
- Match Census population shares for age, education, and race
- Adjust survey weights using 24 demographic cells



イロト 不得 トイヨト イヨト

-

Age group 65 - 99

- Consider demographic characteristics of household heads
- Match Census population shares for age, education, and race
- Adjust survey weights using 24 demographic cells



▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQで

College graduates

- Consider demographic characteristics of household heads
- Match Census population shares for age, education, and race
- Adjust survey weights using 24 demographic cells



▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQで

Black household heads

Non-response of wealthy household problem in survey data

• Non-response of wealthy household problem in survey data

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □▶ □ のへぐ

• "Modern" SCF applies two-frame sampling scheme

Non-response of wealthy household problem in survey data

- "Modern" SCF applies two-frame sampling scheme
- "List sample" contains sample of wealthy households

Non-response of wealthy household problem in survey data

- "Modern" SCF applies two-frame sampling scheme
- "List sample" contains sample of wealthy households
- 1983 data identifies list sample

- Non-response of wealthy household problem in survey data
- "Modern" SCF applies two-frame sampling scheme
- "List sample" contains sample of wealthy households
- 1983 data identifies list sample
- Calibrate re-weighting using 1983 distribution of list sample

- Non-response of wealthy household problem in survey data
- "Modern" SCF applies two-frame sampling scheme
- "List sample" contains sample of wealthy households
- 1983 data identifies list sample
- Calibrate re-weighting using 1983 distribution of list sample
- Re-weight existent underrepresented household information in "historical" SCF data

Validating re-weighting approach

1. Compare to similarly designed 1962 survey to check for changing non-response pattern

Validating re-weighting approach

1. Compare to similarly designed 1962 survey to check for changing non-response pattern

	Income			Wealth		
	top 10%	top 5%	top 1%	top 10%	top 5%	top 1%
SFCC 1962	21 %	35 %	63 %	20 %	28 %	48 %
SCF 1983	17 %	34 %	88 %	17 %	32 %	72 %

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■ ●の00

Validating re-weighting approach

- 1. Compare to similarly designed 1962 survey to check for changing non-response pattern
- Drop 1983 list sample and re-weight sample ("proof of concept")

	Income			Wealth		
	top 10%	top 5%	top 1%	top 10%	top 5%	top 1%
SFCC 1962	21 %	35 %	63 %	20 %	28 %	48 %
SCF 1983	17 %	34 %	88 %	17 %	32 %	72 %

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■ ●の00

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ 三三 - のへぐ

• Representative household-level data from 1949 to 2016

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □▶ □ のへぐ

- Representative household-level data from 1949 to 2016
 - 1. Demographics

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □▶ □ のへぐ

- Representative household-level data from 1949 to 2016
 - 1. Demographics
 - 2. Income

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ 三 のへぐ

- Representative household-level data from 1949 to 2016
 - 1. Demographics
 - 2. Income
 - 3. Balance sheets

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ 三 のへぐ

- Representative household-level data from 1949 to 2016
- Information independent of tax filing status

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■ ●の00

- Representative household-level data from 1949 to 2016
- Information independent of tax filing status
- Balance sheet of "Main Street America" (houses, mortgages, and cars) well covered

- Representative household-level data from 1949 to 2016
- Information independent of tax filing status
- Balance sheet of "Main Street America" (houses, mortgages, and cars) well covered
- Capitalization method imputes large part of assets (in 2010)

- 1. 91% of wealth for bottom 90%
- 2. 40% of wealth for top 10%