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Any opinions expressed here are my own and do not necessarily reflect those of others on the Federal Open Market Committee.
This talk

- Financial crisis aftershocks have partially broken down the consensus on the wisdom of central bank independence.
- To the extent that central bank independence is weakened globally, macroeconomic stabilization policy will not be executed as well in the future as it has been since the mid-1980s.
  - This suggests more macroeconomic volatility ahead.
- “Fiscalization” of monetary policy will tend to complicate the policymaking process substantially.
  - Live example: The ECB’s OMT program.
Consensus Weakening
What is the consensus on central bank independence?

- Effective macroeconomic stabilization policy has to be implemented in a timely manner in reaction to macroeconomic shocks. Example: Taylor-type policy rules.
- Fiscal policy adjustments through tax, spending, and borrowing policy tend to be slow and must be carefully negotiated.
- Monetary policy can be implemented in a timely and technocratic manner.

Hence the conventional wisdom:
- Focus fiscal policy decisions on the medium and longer run.
- Delegate monetary policy to an independent authority.
Why is this the consensus?

- The goal is to have an effective macroeconomic stabilization policy.
- If monetary policy is not delegated to an independent authority, then it too becomes part of the slow and complicated negotiations associated with fiscal policy.
- The society would be left without a way to make timely policy adjustments in reaction to macroeconomic shocks.
  - The result would be more macroeconomic volatility.
- The consensus therefore suggests that macroeconomic outcomes will be better with an independent central bank.
Why is the consensus breaking down?

- The central banks in the G-7 encountered the zero lower bound on nominal interest rates.

- This led many to talk about the need for fiscal authorities to step up and conduct macroeconomic stabilization policy.

- However, the usual political hurdles asserted themselves and led to a hodgepodge of fiscal policy responses not particularly well-timed with macroeconomic events.
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Aside: The zero lower bound and fiscal programs

- The binding ZLB does not necessarily imply a need for fiscally-oriented macroeconomic stabilization policy.
- Central banks have conducted stabilization policy effectively even while at the zero bound.
  - Two key tools: QE programs and forward guidance.
  - Evidence: Inflation has generally stayed near target instead of falling dramatically.
- The NK literature suggests that an effective monetary policy makes fiscal stabilization policy irrelevant, and that forward guidance keeps monetary policy effective even at the ZLB.*

Fiscal Responses
The central bank and fiscal policy

- Nevertheless, many see fiscal stabilization policy as desirable in the current context.

- One idea: Suggest that the central bank take actions that are cumbersome to accomplish through a democratically-elected body.

- This may be seen as one way to get the relatively speedy monetary policy decision-making into a fiscal policy context.
Slower, Negotiated Decision-Making

- This is a “creeping politicization” of monetary policy.

- Some central bank independence is lost since the monetary authority is taking actions at the behest of other policy actors.

- Monetary policy decisions then become wrapped up with fiscal policy decisions, slowing down the process through negotiation and making it considerably more complicated.
A proper approach

- Why ask a central bank to design programs outside of its area of expertise?
- Democratically-elected institutions could certainly create a fiscal stabilization authority, perhaps modeled on the FOMC, that could make technocratic fiscal decisions in speedy reaction to macroeconomic events.
- Such an authority could be allowed to act within assigned limits and under a clearly-specified mandate with periodic reporting.
- I have never heard of such a proposal, but Congress clearly does create specific institutions for specific purposes.
Outright Monetary Transactions
The recent ECB program

- The European Central Bank recently announced an “outright monetary transactions” (OMT) program.
- This program has been widely interpreted as a promise to buy the sovereign debt of individual nations.
- A key element of the program is that purchases, should they occur, are conditional on the nation meeting certain fiscal targets.
- Purchases would be sterilized, so that the program is not the same as U.S.- and U.K.-style quantitative easing.
- The program has been regarded as “successful” so far.
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Fiscalization of monetary policy

- This is “fiscalization” of monetary policy: Asking the central bank to take actions far outside the remit of monetary policy.
  - The analog in the U.S. would be a promise to purchase, or even monetize, state debt in exchange for the state maintaining a fiscal program considered prudent by the central bank.
  - Assistance like this from a central authority to a region is best brokered through the political process in democratically-elected bodies.
- In Europe, the ECB is in essence substituting for a weak pan-European central government.
Ordinary monetary policy

- Ordinary monetary policy provides or removes monetary accommodation in response to macroeconomic developments.
- There has been a large macroeconomic development in Europe: Eurozone recession.
- Yet, little direct action has been taken by the ECB in response to the recession.
  - The policy rate has not been significantly adjusted.
  - The ECB has not employed QE or used forward guidance.
A muted response

- One could argue that the monetary policy response to the European recession has been muted compared to more ordinary circumstances.

- Why? By nearly all accounts, the monetary policy process has been bogged down by political wrangling over the OMT and other programs.
Ordinary monetary policy derailed

**End result:**

- By conducting a fiscal action, the central bank has been pulled away from its ordinary macroeconomic stabilization policy.
- Standard monetary policy has become wrapped up in the fiscal policy package and subject to the negotiations that surround that package.
- This defeats one of the original purposes of central bank independence: Having a monetary authority that can react to macroeconomic shocks quickly and effectively.
Conclusions
Summary

- Financial crisis aftershocks have introduced a “creeping politicization” of central banking globally.
- The macroeconomic performance of nations with politicized central banks has historically been quite poor.
- One live example of the current trend is the ECB’s OMT program.
- One interpretation of the OMT is that it is a fiscal-type operation, and that ordinary monetary policy has become part of the negotiation over the fiscal package.
- This has altered the response of the ECB to the European recession.