June 2021 FR Y-9C Materials

There are twelve new report items effective this quarter on Schedule HC-R, Part I, Regulatory Capital, which implement the changes under the Total Loss Absorbing Capacity (TLAC) final rule for advanced approaches holding companies. In addition, there are instructional changes to certain existing line items in Part I and Part II of Schedule HC-R, Regulatory Capital, pertaining to TLAC.

Other technical clarifications made to the FR Y-9C instruction include the following:

- Schedule HI-B, Part II, Changes in Allowances for Credit Losses, item 6 - clarifications related to reporting the initial gross-up amount for the allowance for purchased credit deteriorated assets.
- Schedule HC-S, Servicing, Securitization, and Asset Sale Activities, item 1 - updates for reporting of 1-4 family residential mortgages sold to a Federal Home Loan Bank through a Mortgage Partnership Finance Program.
- Glossary entries for “Short Position” and “Trading Account” - updated to clarify the netting of certain long and short trading positions determined by an identical unique identifier for purposes of the FR Y-9C report and the reporting of certain trading revenue.
- Glossary entry for “Goodwill” - updated for private company accounting alternatives for goodwill impairment.

Finally, the topic “Goodwill Impairment Testing” has been removed from the Supplemental Instructions this quarter since information pertaining to it has been included in the FR Y-9C instructions for June 2021.

U.S. Department of the Treasury Emergency Capital Investment Program (ECIP)

On March 22, 2021, the agencies published in the Federal Register an interim final rule (IFR) that supports the U.S. Department of the Treasury (Treasury Department) implementation of the Emergency Capital Investment Program (ECIP) established by Congress to make capital investments in minority depository institutions and community development financial institutions. The program will support the efforts of these financial institutions to provide loans, grants, and forbearance to small businesses, minority-owned businesses, and consumers, especially in low-income and underserved communities, which may be disproportionately affected by Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19). Under the program, the Treasury Department will purchase preferred stock or subordinated debt from qualifying minority depository institutions and community development financial institutions, with the corresponding

---

1 86 FR 708 (January 6, 2021)
2 86 FR 15076 (March 22, 2021)
dividend or interest rate based on the institution meeting lending targets. To facilitate implementation of ECIP, the agencies are revising their capital rules to provide that the Treasury Department’s investments under the program qualify as regulatory capital of insured depository institutions and holding companies.

As described in the terms published by the Treasury Department, Senior Preferred Stock issued under ECIP will be noncumulative, perpetual preferred stock that is senior to the issuer’s common stock and pari passu with (or, in some cases, senior to) the issuer’s most senior class of existing preferred stock. Subordinated Debt issued under ECIP will be unsecured subordinated debt. The Subordinated Debt will rank junior to all other debt of the issuer except that it will rank senior to mutual capital certificates or similar instruments issued by a mutual banking organization and to any equity instruments issued by an S corporation.

The noncumulative perpetual preferred stock issued under the Treasury Department’s ECIP should be reported on the FR Y-9C report balance sheet (Schedule HC) in item 23, “Perpetual preferred stock and related surplus.” For regulatory capital purposes, the noncumulative perpetual preferred stock issued under the Treasury Department’s ECIP qualifies as additional Tier 1 capital, reported in Schedule HC-R, Part I, line item 20 and should be included in the amount reported for “Tier 1 capital” in Schedule HC-R, Part I, line item 26.

The full amount of all subordinated debt instruments issued under the Treasury Department’s ECIP should be reported in Schedule HC, item 19, “Subordinated notes and debentures.” For regulatory capital purposes, a holding company would report these subordinated debt instruments in Schedule HC-R, item 39, “Tier 2 capital instruments plus related surplus.”

Section 2303, Modifications for Net Operating Losses

Section 2303 of the Coronavirus Aid, Relief, and Economic Security Act (CARES Act) makes two changes to sections of the Internal Revenue Code that were impacted by the Tax Cuts and Jobs Act, which was enacted on December 22, 2017, related to (1) net operating loss (NOL) carryforwards and (2) NOL carrybacks. As stated in the Glossary entry for “Income Taxes” in the FR Y-9C instructions, when an institution’s deductions exceed its income for income tax purposes, it has sustained an NOL. To the extent permitted under a taxing authority’s laws and regulations, an NOL that occurs in a year following periods when an institution had taxable income may be carried back to recover income taxes previously paid. Generally, an NOL that occurs when loss carrybacks are not available becomes an NOL carryforward.

The CARES Act (1) repeals the 80 percent taxable income limitation for NOL carryback and carryforward deductions in tax years beginning before 2021, and (2) for NOL carrybacks under federal law, allows an institution to apply up to 100 percent of a carryback for up to five years for any NOLs incurred in taxable years 2018, 2019, and 2020. Although the Glossary entry for “Income Taxes” currently refers to federal law prior to the CARES Act (e.g., indicating that, “for
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years beginning on or after January 1, 2018, a bank may no longer carry back operating losses to recover taxes paid in prior tax years”), institutions should use the newly enacted provisions of federal law within the CARES Act when determining the extent to which NOLs may be carried forward or back.

Additionally, deferred tax assets (DTAs) are recognized for NOL carryforwards as well as deductible temporary differences, subject to estimated realizability. As a result, an institution can recognize the tax benefit of an NOL for accounting and reporting purposes to the extent the institution determines that a valuation allowance is not considered necessary (i.e., realization of the tax benefit is more likely than not). U.S. generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP) require the effect of changes in tax laws or rates to be recognized in the period in which the legislation is enacted. Thus, in accordance with Accounting Standards Codification (ASC) Topic 740, Income Taxes, the effects of the CARES Act should have been recorded in an institution’s FR Y-9C for March 31, 2020, because the CARES Act was enacted during that reporting period. Changes in DTAs and deferred tax liabilities (DTLs) resulting from the change in tax law for NOL carrybacks and carryforwards and other applicable provisions of the CARES Act will be reflected in an institution’s income tax expense in the period of enactment, i.e., the March 31, 2020, FR Y-9C.

As mentioned above, the CARES Act restores NOL carryback potential for federal income tax purposes to NOLs incurred in taxable years 2018, 2019, and 2020. Consequently, institutions should note that DTAs arising from temporary differences that could be realized through NOL carrybacks are not subject to deduction for regulatory capital purposes. Instead, except for institutions that have a community bank leverage ratio framework election in effect, such DTAs are assigned a risk weight of 100 percent. Only those DTAs arising from temporary differences that could not be realized through NOL carrybacks, net of related valuation allowances and net of DTLs, that exceed the thresholds described in FR Y-9C Schedule HC-R, Part I, items 15, 15.a, and 15.b, as applicable, and item 16, if applicable, are deducted from common equity tier 1 capital.

Section 4014, Optional Temporary Relief from Current Expected Credit Losses

Section 4014 of the CARES Act, as amended by the Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2021, allows an institution to delay the adoption of Accounting Standards Update (ASU) 2016-13, Financial Instruments – Credit Losses (Topic 326), Measurement of Credit Losses on Financial Instruments, until the earlier of (1) January 1, 2022, or (2) the first day of the institution’s fiscal year that begins after the date of the termination of the National Emergency.

Temporary Adjustment to the Measurement Date for Certain Total Asset Thresholds in the FR Y-9C

During 2020, relief measures enacted by Congress through the CARES Act in response to the strains on the U.S. economy and disruptions to the financial markets as a result of COVID-19 have led to unprecedented growth at many institutions, including loans made through the
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Paycheck Protection Program (PPP). This rapid growth caused the assets of some institutions to rise above certain asset-based thresholds. Much of this growth, especially growth related to PPP lending, is likely to be temporary, and the increase in assets currently held by an institution may not reflect a change in the institution’s longer-term risk profile. To provide reporting relief due to institutions’ asset growth in 2020 related to participation in various COVID-19-related stimulus activities, the Board has adjusted the measurement date for certain total asset thresholds that trigger additional reporting requirements in the FR Y-9C for report dates in 2021 only, as discussed below.

First, on December 2, 2020, the agencies published in the *Federal Register* an IFR\(^7\) that, among other provisions, allows a holding company with under $10 billion in total consolidated assets to use the lesser of total consolidated assets as of December 31, 2019, or June 30, 2020, when determining applicability to report certain additional data items in its FR Y-9C for report dates in calendar year 2021. The footnotes on the FR Y-9C report form related to thresholds for these affected data items have been updated as of the March 31, 2021, report date, to reflect this temporary change in measurement dates. See Appendix II of the December 31, 2020, Supplemental Instructions for a full listing of items that the alternate measurement date may be used during 2021 only.

Secondly, the agencies capital rules permit institutions that meet certain criteria to use the community bank leverage ratio (CBLR) framework to measure their regulatory capital.\(^8\) The agencies IFR revises these capital rules to allow institutions that temporarily exceed the $10 billion total asset threshold in those rules to use the CBLR framework from December 31, 2020, through December 31, 2021, provided they meet the other qualifying criteria for this framework.\(^9\) Holding companies that elect to use the CBLR framework under this temporary relief would report CBLR information in FR Y-9C report, Schedule HC-R, Part I, as reflected in the FR Y-9C instructions, except that the holding company should:

- Report the lesser of its total assets as of December 31, 2019, or as of the current quarter-end report date, which must be less than $10 billion, in Schedule HC-R, Part I, item 32, “Total assets.”
- Use its total assets as reported in Schedule HC, item 12, as of the current quarter-end report date when reporting (1) the sum of trading assets and trading liabilities as a percentage of total assets, which must be 5 percent or less, in Schedule HC-R, item 33, column B, and (2) total off-balance sheet exposures as a percentage of total assets, which must be 25 percent or less, in Schedule HC-R, Part I, item 34.d, column B.

**Reference Rate Reform**

In March 2020, the FASB issued ASU No. 2020-04, “Reference Rate Reform (Topic 848): Facilitation of the Effects of Reference Rate Reform on Financial Reporting.” The ASU states that “[r]efERENCE rates such as the London Interbank Offered Rate (LIBOR) are widely used in a
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broad range of financial instruments and other agreements. Regulators and market participants in various jurisdictions have undertaken efforts, generally referred to as reference rate reform, to eliminate certain reference rates and introduce new reference rates that are based on a larger and more liquid population of observable transactions. As a result of this initiative, certain widely used reference rates such as LIBOR are expected to be discontinued.

The ASU provides optional expedients for a limited period of time to ease the potential burden in accounting for (or recognizing the effects of) reference rate reform on financial reporting. In particular, the expedients in the ASU are available to be elected by all institutions, subject to meeting certain criteria, for contracts, hedging relationships, and other transactions that reference LIBOR or another reference rate expected to be discontinued because of reference rate reform.

With respect to contracts, the ASU applies to contract modifications that replace a reference rate affected by reference rate reform (including rates referenced in fallback provisions) and contemporaneous modifications of other contract terms related to the replacement of the reference rate (including contract modifications to add or change fallback provisions). The ASU provides optional expedients for applying Accounting Standards Codification (ASC) requirements in the following areas:

- **ASC Topics 310, Receivables, and 470, Debt**: Modifications of contracts within the scope of these topics should be accounted for by prospectively adjusting the effective interest rate.
- **ASC Topics 840, Leases, and 842, Leases**: Modifications of contracts within the scope of these topics should be accounted for as a continuation of the existing contracts with no reassessments of the lease classification and the discount rate (for example, the incremental borrowing rate) or remeasurements of lease payments that otherwise would be required under these topics for modifications not accounted for as separate contracts.
- **ASC Subtopic 815-15, Derivatives and Hedging—Embedded Derivatives**: Modifications of contracts do not require an entity to reassess its original conclusion about whether that contract contains an embedded derivative that is clearly and closely related to the economic characteristics and risks of the host contract under this subtopic.

For other topics in the ASC, the ASU states a general principle that permits an institution to consider contract modifications due to reference rate reform to be an event that does not require contract remeasurement at the modification date or reassessment of a previous accounting determination. When elected, an institution must apply the optional expedients for contract modifications consistently for all eligible contracts or eligible transactions within the relevant ASC topic that contains the guidance that otherwise would be required to be applied.

In addition, the ASU provides exceptions to the guidance in Topic 815, Derivatives and Hedging, related to changes to the critical terms of a hedging relationship due to reference rate reform. The ASU includes examples of changes to these terms that should not result in the de-designation of the hedging relationship if certain criteria are met. The ASU also provides optional expedients for fair value hedging relationships, cash flow hedging relationships, and net investment hedging relationships for which the component excluded from the assessment of hedge effectiveness is affected by reference rate reform. If certain criteria are met, other optional expedients apply to
cash flow hedging relationships affected by reference rate reform and to fair value hedging relationships for which the derivative designated as the hedging instrument is affected by reference rate reform. The optional expedients for hedging relationships may be elected on an individual hedging relationship basis.

Finally, the ASU permits institutions to make a one-time election to sell, transfer, or both sell and transfer held-to-maturity debt securities that reference a rate affected by reference rate reform and were classified as held-to-maturity before January 1, 2020.

The ASU is effective for all institutions as of March 12, 2020, through December 31, 2022. For additional information, institutions should refer to ASU 2020-04, which is available at https://www.fasb.org/jsp/FASB/Document_C/DocumentPage?cid=1176174318625&acceptedDisclaimer=true.

Credit Losses on Financial Instruments

In June 2016, the FASB issued ASU No. 2016-13, “Measurement of Credit Losses on Financial Instruments,” which introduces CECL for estimating allowances for credit losses. Under CECL, an allowance for credit losses is a valuation account, measured as the difference between the financial assets’ amortized cost basis and the net amount expected to be collected on the financial assets (i.e., lifetime credit losses). To estimate expected credit losses under CECL, holding companies will use a broader range of data than under existing U.S. GAAP. These data include information about past events, current conditions, and reasonable and supportable forecasts relevant to assessing the collectability of the cash flows of financial assets.

The ASU is applicable to all financial instruments measured at amortized cost (including loans held for investment and held-to-maturity debt securities, as well as trade receivables, reinsurance recoverables and receivables that relate to repurchase agreements and securities lending agreements) a lessor’s net investments in leases, and off-balance-sheet credit exposures not accounted for as insurance, including loan commitments, standby letters of credit, and financial guarantees. The new standard does not apply to trading assets, loans held for sale, financial assets for which the fair value option has been elected, or loans and receivables between entities under common control.

The ASU also modifies the treatment of credit impairment on available-for-sale (AFS) debt securities. Under the new standard, holding companies will recognize a credit loss on an AFS debt security through an allowance for credit losses, rather than the current practice required by U.S. GAAP of write-downs of individual securities for other-than-temporary impairment.

On November 15, 2019, the FASB issued ASU No. 2019-10 to defer the effective dates of ASU 2016-13 for certain holding companies. Under this ASU, for holding companies that are SEC filers, except those that are “smaller reporting companies” as defined in the SEC’s rules, ASU 2016-13 continues to be effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2019, including interim periods within those fiscal years, i.e., January 1, 2020, for such entities with calendar
year fiscal years. For all other holding companies, including those SEC filers that are eligible to be smaller reporting holding companies, ASU 2016-13 now will take effect for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2022, including interim periods within those fiscal years, i.e., January 1, 2023, for such entities with calendar year fiscal years. For all holding companies, early application of the new credit losses standard is permitted for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2018, including interim periods within those fiscal years.

Holding companies must apply ASU 2016-13 for FR Y-9C purposes in accordance with the effective dates set forth in the ASU, as amended in November 2019. A holding company that early adopts ASU 2016-13 for U.S. GAAP financial reporting purposes should also early adopt the ASU in the same period for FR Y-9 purposes. However, Section 4014 of the CARES Act, as amended by section 540 of the Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2021, allows a holding company to delay the adoption of ASU 2016-13 until the earlier of (1) January 1, 2022, or (2) the first day of the institution’s fiscal year that begins after the date of the termination of the national emergency concerning the coronavirus outbreak declared by the President on March 13, 2020, under the National Emergencies Act.

For additional information, holding companies should refer to the agencies’ Interagency Policy Statement on Allowances for Credit Losses, which was published on June 1, 2020. Since the issuance of ASU 2016-13, the FASB has published the following amendments to the new credit losses accounting standard:

Accounting for Hedging Activities

In August 2017, the FASB issued ASU No. 2017-12, “Targeted Improvements to Accounting for Hedging Activities.” This ASU amends Accounting Standards Codification (ASC) Topic 815, Derivatives and Hedging, to “better align an entity’s risk management activities and financial reporting for hedging relationships through changes to both the designation and measurement guidance for qualifying hedging relationships and the presentation of hedge results.”

For holding companies that are public business entities, as defined under U.S. GAAP, ASU 2017-12 is currently in effect. For holding companies that are not public business entities (i.e., that are private companies), the FASB issued ASU 2019-10 on November 15, 2019, to defer the effective date of ASU 2017-12 by one year. As amended by ASU 2019-10, ASU 2017-12 will take effect for entities that are not public business entities for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2020, and interim periods within fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2021.

Early application of ASU 2017-12 is permitted for all holding companies in any interim period or fiscal year before the effective date of the ASU. Further, ASU 2017-12 specifies transition requirements and offers transition elections for hedging relationships existing on the date of adoption (i.e., hedging relationships in which the hedging instrument has not expired, been sold, terminated, or exercised or for which the holding company has not removed the designation of the hedging relationship). These transition requirements and elections should be applied on the date of adoption of ASU 2017-12 and the effect of adoption should be reflected as of the beginning of the fiscal year of adoption (i.e., the initial application date). Thus, if a holding company early adopts ASU 2017-12 in an interim period, any adjustments shall be reflected as of the beginning of the fiscal year that includes the interim period of adoption, e.g., as of January 1 for a calendar year. A holding company that early adopts ASU 2017-12 in an interim period for U.S. GAAP financial reporting purposes should also early adopt the ASU in the same period for FR Y-9C purposes.

The FR Y-9C instructions, including the Glossary entry for “Derivative Contracts,” will be revised to conform to the ASU at a future date.


New Revenue Recognition Accounting Standard

In May 2014, the FASB issued ASU No. 2014-09, “Revenue from Contracts with Customers,”
which added ASC Topic 606, Revenue from Contracts with Customers, to the Accounting Standards Codification (ASC). The core principle of Topic 606 is that a holding company should recognize revenue at an amount that reflects the consideration to which it expects to be entitled in exchange for transferring goods or services to a customer as part of the entity’s ordinary activities. ASU 2014-09 also added Topic 610, Other Income, which applies to income recognition that is not within the scope of Topic 606, other Topics (such as Topic 840 on leases), or other revenue or income guidance. As discussed in the following section of these Supplemental Instructions, Topic 610 applies to a holding company’s sales of repossessed nonfinancial assets, such as other real estate owned (OREO). The sale of repossessed nonfinancial assets is not considered an “ordinary activity” because holding companies do not typically invest in nonfinancial assets. ASU 2014-09 and subsequent amendments are collectively referred to herein as the “revenue recognition standard.” For additional information on this accounting standard and the revenue streams to which it does and does not apply, please refer to the Glossary entry for “Revenue from Contracts with Customers,” in the FR Y-9C instructions.

The revenue recognition standard is currently in effect for all institutions, unless ASU 2020-05, “Effective Dates for Certain Entities,” applies. To provide immediate, near-term relief because of the unique challenges resulting from the COVID-19 pandemic, the FASB issued ASU No. 2020-05, “Effective Dates for Certain Entities,” on June 3, 2020, to defer, for one year, the required effective date of the revenue recognition standard for certain institutions that are private companies. More specifically, institutions that are private companies with a non-calendar fiscal year that ended after March 31, 2020, but before January 1, 2021 (e.g., a fiscal year that ended June 30, 2020, or September 30, 2020), and had not yet been required to file a FR Y-9C reflecting the adoption of the revenue recognition standard as of March 31, 2020, were provided the option to elect to either (1) adopt this revenue recognition standard for annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2019, and for interim reporting periods within annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2020, or (2) follow the revenue recognition standard’s original effective date and begin to report revenue in accordance with the standard in annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2018, and interim reporting periods within annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2019. For example, a holding company that is private with a fiscal year that ends September 30, 2020 (that did not early adopt the revenue recognition standard), would have elected to begin to report revenue in accordance with the standard in its FR Y-9C for either September 30, 2020, or September 30, 2021.

For FR Y-9C purposes, a holding company must apply the revenue recognition standard on a modified retrospective basis as of the original or deferred effective date of the standard. When applying the modified retrospective method in the FR Y-9C, a private holding company with a fiscal year that begins November 1, for example, and elected to defer the adoption of the new standard from its original effective date must determine the effect on its retained earnings as of January 1, 2021, of adopting the revenue recognition standard as of November 1, 2020. The holding company should report the effect of this change in accounting principle, net of applicable income taxes, as a direct adjustment to equity capital in Schedule HI-A, item 2, in the FR Y-9C for December 31, 2021. The holding company also must report calendar year-to-date
For additional information, holding companies should refer to the new standard, which is available at [http://www.fasb.org/jsp/FASB/Page/SectionPage&cid=1176156316498](http://www.fasb.org/jsp/FASB/Page/SectionPage&cid=1176156316498).

**Revenue Recognition: Accounting for Sales of OREO**

As stated in the preceding section, Topic 610 applies to a holding company’s sale of repossessed nonfinancial assets, such as OREO. When the revenue recognition standard becomes effective at the dates discussed above, Topic 610 will eliminate the prescriptive criteria and methods for sale accounting and gain recognition for dispositions of OREO currently set forth in ASC Subtopic 360-20, Property, Plant, and Equipment—Real Estate Sales. Under the standard, a holding company will recognize the entire gain or loss, if any, and derecognize the OREO at the time of sale if the transaction meets certain requirements of Topic 606. Otherwise, a holding company generally will record any payments received as a deposit liability to the buyer and continue reporting the OREO as an asset at the time of the transaction.

The following paragraphs highlight key aspects of Topic 610 that will apply to seller-financed sales of OREO once the standard takes effect. When implementing the standard, a holding company will need to exercise judgment in determining whether a contract (within the meaning of Topic 606) exists for the sale or transfer of OREO, whether the holding company has performed its obligations identified in the contract, and what the transaction price is for calculation of the amount of gain or loss. For additional information, please refer to the Glossary entry for “Foreclosed Assets” in the FR Y-9C instructions, which provides guidance on the application of the standard to sales of OREO.

Under Topic 610, when an institution does not have a controlling financial interest in the OREO buyer under Topic 810, Consolidation, the holding company’s first step in assessing whether it can derecognize an OREO asset and recognize revenue upon the sale or transfer of the OREO is to determine whether a contract exists under the provisions of Topic 606. In order for a transaction to be a contract under Topic 606, it must meet five criteria. Although all five criteria require careful analysis for seller-financed sales of OREO, two criteria in particular may require significant judgment. These criteria are the commitment of the parties to the transaction to perform their respective obligations and the collectability of the transaction price. To evaluate whether a transaction meets the collectability criterion, a selling holding company must determine whether it is probable that it will collect substantially all of the consideration to which it is entitled in exchange for the transfer of the OREO, i.e., the transaction price. To make this determination, as well as the determination that the buyer of the OREO is committed to perform its obligations, a selling holding company should consider all facts and circumstances related to the buyer’s ability and intent to pay the transaction price. As with the current accounting standards governing seller-financed sales of OREO, the amount and character of a buyer’s initial equity in the property (typically the cash down payment) and recourse provisions remain important factors to evaluate. Other factors to consider may include, but are not limited to, the financing terms of the loan (including amortization and any balloon payment), the credit
standing of the buyer, the cash flow from the property, and the selling holding company’s continuing involvement with the property following the transaction.

If the five contract criteria in Topic 606 have not been met, the holding company generally may not derecognize the OREO asset or recognize revenue (gain or loss) as an accounting sale has not occurred. In contrast, if the holding company determines the contract criteria in Topic 606 have been met, it must then determine whether it has satisfied its performance obligations as identified in the contract by transferring control of the asset to the buyer. For seller-financed sales of OREO, the transfer of control generally occurs on the closing date of the sale when the holding company obtains the right to receive payment for the property and transfers legal title to the buyer. However, a holding company must consider all relevant facts and circumstances to determine whether control of the OREO has transferred.

When a contract exists and a holding company has transferred control of the asset, the holding company should derecognize the OREO asset and recognize a gain or loss for the difference between the transaction price and the carrying amount of the OREO asset. Generally, the transaction price in a sale of OREO will be the contract amount in the purchase/sale agreement, including for a seller-financed sale at market terms. However, the transaction price may differ from the amount stated in the contract due to the existence of off-market terms on the financing. In this situation, to determine the transaction price, the contract amount should be adjusted for the time value of money by using as the discount rate a market rate of interest considering the credit characteristics of the buyer and the terms of the financing.

As stated in the preceding section, a holding company must apply the revenue recognition standard, including the change in accounting for seller-financed OREO sales, on a modified retrospective basis for FR Y-9C purposes. A private holding company with a fiscal year other than the calendar year, such as a holding company with a fiscal year that begins November 1 that elected to defer reporting revenue in accordance with the standard in the FR Y-9C for December 31, 2021, should follow the guidance for applying the modified retrospective method in the preceding section to its seller-financed OREO sales.

Accounting for Leases

In February 2016, the FASB issued ASU No. 2016-02, “Leases,” which added ASC Topic 842, Leases. Once effective, this guidance, as amended by certain subsequent ASUs, supersedes ASC Topic 840, Leases.

Topic 842 does not fundamentally change lessor accounting; however, it aligns terminology between lessee and lessor accounting and brings key aspects of lessor accounting into alignment with the FASB’s revenue recognition standard. As a result, the classification difference between direct financing leases and sales-type leases for lessors moves from a risk-and-rewards principle to a transfer of control principle. Additionally, there is no longer a distinction in the treatment of real estate and non-real estate leases by lessors.
The most significant change that Topic 842 makes is to lessee accounting. Under existing accounting standards, lessees recognize lease assets and lease liabilities on the balance sheet for capital leases, but do not recognize operating leases on the balance sheet. The lessee accounting model under Topic 842 retains the distinction between operating leases and capital leases, which the new standard labels finance leases. However, the new standard requires lessees to record a right-of-use (ROU) asset and a lease liability on the balance sheet for operating leases. (For finance leases, a lessee’s lease asset also is designated an ROU asset.) In general, the new standard permits a lessee to make an accounting policy election to exempt leases with a term of one year or less at their commencement date from on-balance sheet recognition. The lease term generally includes the noncancellable period of a lease as well as purchase options and renewal options reasonably certain to be exercised by the lessee, renewal options controlled by the lessor, and any other economic incentive for the lessee to extend the lease. An economic incentive may include a related-party commitment. When preparing to implement Topic 842, lessees will need to analyze their existing lease contracts to determine the entries to record on adoption of this new standard.

For a sale-leaseback transaction to qualify for sales treatment, Topic 842 requires certain criteria within Topic 606 to be met. Topic 606 focuses on the transfer of control of the leased asset from the seller/lessee to the buyer/lessor. A sale-leaseback transaction that does not transfer control is accounted for as a financing arrangement. For a transaction currently accounted for as a sale-leaseback under existing GAAP, an entity is not required to reassess whether the transaction would have qualified as a sale and a leaseback under Topic 842 when it adopts the new standard.

Leases classified as leveraged leases prior to the adoption of Topic 842 may continue to be accounted for under Topic 840 unless subsequently modified. Topic 842 eliminates leveraged lease accounting for leases that commence after a holding company adopts the new accounting standard.

For holding companies that are public business entities, as defined under U.S. GAAP, ASU 2016-02 is currently in effect. For holding companies that are not public business entities (i.e., that are private companies), ASU 2016-02, as amended in 2019, was scheduled to take effect for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2020, and interim reporting periods within fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2021. However, to provide immediate, near-term relief because of the significant business disruptions caused by the COVID-19 pandemic, the FASB issued ASU No. 2020-05, “Effective Dates for Certain Entities,” on June 3, 2020, to defer, for one year, the required effective date of the new lease accounting standard for entities not yet required to adopt ASU 2016-02. As a result, ASU 2016-02 will now take effect for institutions that are private companies for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2021, and to interim periods within fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2022. Early application of ASU 2016-02 continues to be permitted. A holding company that early adopts the new standard must apply it in its entirety to all lease-related transactions. If a holding company chooses to early adopt the new standard for financial reporting purposes, the holding company should implement the new standard in its FR Y-9C report for the same quarter-end report date.

Under ASU 2016-02, a holding company must apply the new leases standard on a modified
retrospective basis for financial reporting purposes. Under the modified retrospective method, a holding company should apply the leases standard and the related cumulative-effect adjustments to affected accounts existing as of the beginning of the earliest period presented in the financial statements. However, as explained in the “Changes in accounting principles” section of the Glossary entry for “Accounting Changes” in the FR Y-9C instructions, when a new accounting standard (such as the leases standard) requires the use of a retrospective application method, holding companies should instead report the cumulative effect of adopting the new standard on the amount of retained earnings at the beginning of the year in which the new standard is first adopted for FR Y-9C purposes (net of applicable income taxes, if any) as a direct adjustment to equity capital in the FR Y-9C. For the adoption of the new leases standard, the cumulative-effect adjustment to bank equity capital for this change in accounting principle should be reported in Schedule H-I-A, item 2. In July 2018, the FASB issued ASU 2018-11, “Targeted Improvements,” which provides an additional and “optional transition method” for comparative reporting purposes at adoption of the new leases standard. Under this optional transition method, a holding company initially applies the new leases standard at the adoption date (e.g., January 1, 2022, for a private holding company with a calendar year fiscal year) and, for FR Y-9C purposes, the holding company should recognize and report a cumulative-effect adjustment to the opening balance of retained earnings in the period of adoption consistent with the Glossary instructions described above.

For FR Y-9C purposes, all ROU assets for operating leases and finance leases, including ROU assets for operating leases recorded upon adoption of ASU 2016-02, should be reflected in Schedule H-C, item 6, “Premises and fixed assets.”

Holding companies that have adopted ASU 2016-02 should report the lease liability for operating leases on the FR Y-9C balance sheet in Schedule H-C, item 20, “Other liabilities.” In Schedule H-C- G, Other Liabilities, operating lease liabilities should be reported in item 4, “All other liabilities.”

For an operating lease, a lessee should report a single lease cost for the lease in the FR Y-9C income statement, calculated so that the cost of the lease is allocated over the lease term on a generally straight-line basis, in Schedule H-I, item 7.b, “Expenses of premises and fixed assets.” For a finance lease, a lessee should report interest expense on the lease liability separately from the amortization expense on the ROU asset. The interest expense should be reported on H-I in item 2.c, “Interest on trading liabilities and other borrowed money,” on the FR Y-9C. The amortization expense should be reported on Schedule H-I in item 7.b, “Expenses of premises and fixed assets.”

To the extent an ROU asset arises due to a lessee’s lease of a tangible asset (e.g., building or equipment), the ROU asset should be treated as a tangible asset not subject to deduction from regulatory capital. Except for a holding company that has a community bank leverage ratio framework election in effect, an ROU asset not subject to deduction must be risk weighted at 100 percent in accordance with Board’s regulatory capital rules and included in a lessee holding company’s calculations of total risk-weighted assets. In addition, an ROU asset must be included in a lessee holding company’s total assets for leverage capital purposes.
For additional information on ASU 2016-02, holding companies should refer to the FASB’s website at https://www.fasb.org/leases, which includes a link to the lease accounting standard and subsequent amendments to this standard. Holding companies may also refer to the Glossary entry for “Lease Accounting” in the FR Y-9C instructions, which was updated as of September 30, 2020 in response to the changes in the accounting for leases summarized above.

Other Reporting Matters

For the following topics, holding companies should continue to follow the guidance in the specified FR Y-9C Supplemental Instructions:

- **Regulatory Capital Treatment of Certain Centrally-Cleared Derivative Contracts**
  Holding companies should continue to follow the guidance for Regulatory Capital Treatment of Certain Centrally-Cleared Derivative Contracts in the FR Y-9C Supplemental Instructions for December 2018. These instructions can be accessed via the Federal Reserve’s website (http://www.federalreserve.gov/reportforms/supplemental/SI_FRY9_201812.pdf)

- **Reporting Exposures Hedged with Cleared Eligible Credit Derivatives in Schedule HC-R**
  Holding companies should continue to follow the guidance for Reporting Exposures Hedged with Cleared Eligible Credit Derivatives in Schedule HC-R that was included in the FR Y-9C Supplemental Instructions for December 2016. These instructions can be accessed via the Federal Reserve’s website (http://www.federalreserve.gov/reportforms/supplemental/SI_FRY9_201612.pdf)

- **Disclosures for Investments in Certain Entities That Calculate Net Asset Value per Share**
  Holding companies should continue to follow the guidance for Disclosures for Investments in Certain Entities that Calculate Net Asset Value per share that was included in the FR Y-9C Supplemental Instructions for December 2016. These instructions can be accessed via the Federal Reserve’s website (http://www.federalreserve.gov/reportforms/supplemental/SI_FRY9_201612.pdf)

- **Debt Issuance Cost**
  Holding companies should continue to follow the guidance for Debt Issuance Cost that was included in the FR Y-9C Supplemental Instructions for September 2016. These instructions can be accessed via the Federal Reserve’s website (http://www.federalreserve.gov/reportforms/supplemental/SI_FRY9_201609.pdf)

- **Accounting for Subsequent Restructuring of a Troubled Debt Restructuring**
  Holding companies should continue to follow the guidance for Accounting for Subsequent Restructuring of a Troubled Debt Restructuring that was included in the FR Y-9C Supplemental Instructions for September 2016. These instructions can be accessed via the Federal Reserve’s website (http://www.federalreserve.gov/reportforms/supplemental/SI_FRY9_201609.pdf)
Reclassification of Residential Real Estate Collateralized Consumer Mortgage Loans Upon a Foreclosure

Holding companies should continue to follow the guidance for Reclassification of Residential Real Estate Collateralized Consumer Mortgage Loans Upon a Foreclosure that was included in the FR Y-9C Supplemental Instructions for September 2016. These instructions can be accessed via the Federal Reserve’s website (http://www.federalreserve.gov/reportforms/supplemental/SI_FRY9_201609.pdf)

Reporting Certain Government-Guaranteed Mortgage Loans upon Foreclosure

Holding companies should continue to follow the guidance for Reporting Certain Government-Guaranteed Mortgage Loans upon Foreclosure that was included in the FR Y-9C Supplemental Instructions for September 2016. These instructions can be accessed via the Federal Reserve’s website (http://www.federalreserve.gov/reportforms/supplemental/SI_FRY9_201609.pdf)

Secured Consumer Debt Discharged in a Chapter 7 Bankruptcy Order

Holding companies should continue to follow the guidance for Secured Consumer Debt Discharged in a Chapter 7 Bankruptcy Order that was included in the FR Y-9C Supplemental Instructions for December, 31 2015. These instructions can be accessed via the Federal Reserve’s Web site (http://www.federalreserve.gov/reportforms/supplemental/SI_FRY9_201512.pdf)

True Up Liability under an FDIC Loss-Sharing Agreement

Holding companies should continue to follow the guidance for True up liability under an FDIC loss-sharing agreement that was included in the FR Y-9C Supplemental Instructions for September, 30 2015. These instructions can be accessed via the Federal Reserve’s Web site (http://www.federalreserve.gov/reportforms/supplemental/SI_FRY9_201509.pdf)

Purchased Loans Originated by Others

Holding companies should continue to follow the guidance for purchased loans originated by others that was included in the FR Y-9C Supplemental Instructions for September, 30 2015. These instructions can be accessed via the Federal Reserve’s Web site (http://www.federalreserve.gov/reportforms/supplemental/SI_FRY9_201509.pdf)

Troubled Debt Restructurings, Current Market Interest Rates, and ASU No. 2011-02

Holding companies should continue to follow the guidance for troubled debt restructurings that was included in the FR Y-9C Supplemental Instructions for March 31, 2015. These instructions can be accessed via the Federal Reserve’s Web site (http://www.federalreserve.gov/reportforms/supplemental/SI_FRY9_201503.pdf)

Indemnification Assets and Accounting Standards Update No. 2012-06

Holding companies should continue to follow the guidance for indemnification assets that was included in the FR Y-9C Supplemental Instructions for December 31, 2012. These instructions can be accessed via the Federal Reserve’s Web site (http://www.federalreserve.gov/reportforms/supplemental/SI_FRY9_201406.pdf)
Determining the Fair Value of Derivatives

Holding companies should continue to follow the guidance in determining the fair value of derivatives that was included in the FR Y-9C Supplemental Instructions for June 30, 2014. These instructions can be accessed via the Federal Reserve’s Web site (http://www.federalreserve.gov/reportforms/supplemental/SI_FRY9_201406.pdf).

Reporting Defined Benefit Postretirement Plans

Holding companies should continue to follow the guidance regarding the reporting of defined benefit postretirement plans that was included in the FR Y-9C Supplemental Instructions for June 30, 2013. These instructions can be accessed via the Federal Reserve’s Web site (http://www.federalreserve.gov/reportforms/supplemental/SI_FRY9_201306.pdf).

Goodwill Impairment Testing

Holding companies should continue to follow the guidance regarding reporting related to goodwill impairment testing that was included in the FR Y-9C Small Business Lending Fund Supplemental Instructions for March 31, 2013. These instructions can be accessed via the Federal Reserve’s Web site (http://www.federalreserve.gov/reportforms/supplemental/SI_FRY9_201303.pdf).

Small Business Lending Fund

Holding companies should continue to follow the guidance regarding reporting related to the U.S. Treasury Department’s Small Business Lending Fund (SBLF) that was included in the FR Y-9C Supplemental Instructions for March 31, 2013. These instructions can be accessed via the Federal Reserve’s Web site (http://www.federalreserve.gov/reportforms/supplemental/SI_FRY9_201303.pdf).

Treasury Department’s Community Development Capital Initiative Program

Holding companies should continue to follow the guidance regarding reporting related to the Treasury Department’s Community Development Capital Initiative Program that was included in the FR Y-9C Supplemental Instructions for September 30, 2012. These instructions can be accessed via the Federal Reserve’s Web site (http://www.federalreserve.gov/reportforms/supplemental/SI_FRY9_201209.pdf).

Reporting Purchased Subordinated Securities in Schedule HC-S

Holding companies should continue to follow the guidance on reporting purchased subordinated securities in Schedule HC-S that was included in the FR Y-9C Supplemental Instructions for September 30, 2011. These instructions can be accessed via the Federal Reserve’s Web site (http://www.federalreserve.gov/reportforms/supplemental/SI_FRY9_201109.pdf).

Consolidated Variable Interest Entities

Holding companies should continue to follow the guidance on reporting and accounting for consolidated variable interest entities that was included in the FR Y-9C Supplemental

Treasury Department’s Capital Purchase Program

Holding companies should continue to follow the guidance on accounting and reporting for the U.S. Treasury Department’s Capital Purchase Program (CPP) under the Troubled Asset Relief Program mandated by the Emergency Economic Stabilization Act of 2008 that was included in the FR Y-9C Supplemental Instructions for September 30, 2011. These instructions can be accessed via the Federal Reserve’s Web site (http://www.federalreserve.gov/reportforms/supplemental/SI_FRY9_201109.pdf).

Accounting Standards Codification


Extended Net Operating Loss Carryback Period

Holding companies should continue to follow the guidance on accounting for the extended net operating loss carryback period under the Worker, Homeownership, and Business Assistance Act of 2009, that was included in the FR Y-9C Supplemental Instructions for December 31, 2010. These instructions can be accessed via the Federal Reserve’s Web site (http://www.federalreserve.gov/reportforms/supplemental/SI_FRY9_201012.pdf).

FASB Interpretation No. 48 on Uncertain Tax Positions

Holding companies should continue to follow the guidance on accounting for uncertain tax positions under FASB Interpretation No. 48 that was included in the FR Y-9C Supplemental Instructions for December 31, 2009. These instructions can be accessed via the Federal Reserve’s Web site (http://www.federalreserve.gov/reportforms/supplemental/SI_FRY9_200912.pdf).

Business Combinations and Noncontrolling (Minority) Interests

Holding companies should continue to follow the guidance on accounting for business combinations and noncontrolling (minority) interests under FASB Statements Nos. 141(R) and 160 that was included in the FR Y-9C Supplemental Instructions for September 30, 2009. These instructions can be accessed via the Federal Reserve’s Web site (http://www.federalreserve.gov/reportforms/supplemental/SI_FRY9_200909.pdf).

Fair Value Measurement and Fair Value Option

Holding companies should continue to follow the guidance on fair value measurements under

**Accounting for Share-based Payments**

Holding companies should continue to follow the guidance on accounting for share-based payments under FASB Statement No. 123 (Revised 2004), *Share-Based Payment* (FAS 123(R)), that was included in the FR Y-9C Supplemental Instructions for December 31, 2006. These instructions can be accessed via the Federal Reserve’s Web site (http://www.federalreserve.gov/reportforms-supplemental/SI_FRY9_200612.pdf).

**Tobacco Transition Payment Program**

Holding companies should continue to follow guidance on the tobacco buyout program included in the FR Y-9C Supplemental Instructions for June 30, 2006, which can be accessed via the Federal Reserve’s Web site (http://www.federalreserve.gov/reportforms-supplemental/SI.FRY9.200606.pdf).

**Commitments to Originate and Sell Mortgage Loans**

Holding companies should continue to follow the guidance provided on this subject in the FR Y-9C Supplemental Instructions provided for December 31, 2005. These Supplemental Instructions can be accessed via the Federal Reserve’s Web site (http://www.federalreserve.gov/reportforms-supplemental/SI.FRY9.200512.pdf).