A CORE ISSUE

Systemic risk 0000000 NON-FUNDAMENTAL EQUILIBRIA

THREE ISSUES IN LEARNING AND MONETARY POLICY

James Bullard *President and CEO*

13 September 2008 Learning and Macroeconomic Policy University of Cambridge, UK

Views expressed do not necessarily reflect official positions of the FOMC or the Federal Reserve System.

JAMES BULLARD

FEDERAL RESERVE BANK OF ST. LOUIS



• Issue one: core versus headline inflation.



• Issue one: core versus headline inflation.

• The role for learning: filtering.



- Issue one: core versus headline inflation.
 - The role for learning: filtering.
- Issue two: systemic risk.



- Issue one: core versus headline inflation.
 - The role for learning: filtering.
- Issue two: systemic risk.
 - The role for learning: information revelation.



- Issue one: core versus headline inflation.
 - The role for learning: filtering.
- Issue two: systemic risk.
 - The role for learning: information revelation.
- Issue three: optimal policy in an economy with non-fundamental equilibria.



- Issue one: core versus headline inflation.
 - The role for learning: filtering.
- Issue two: systemic risk.
 - The role for learning: information revelation.
- Issue three: optimal policy in an economy with non-fundamental equilibria.
 - The role for learning: coordination on preferred equilibria.



• Provide some focus.



- Provide some focus.
- Timely in current monetary policy discussions.



- Provide some focus.
- Timely in current monetary policy discussions.
- Each has a distinct conventional wisdom behind it.



- Provide some focus.
- Timely in current monetary policy discussions.
- Each has a distinct conventional wisdom behind it.
 - The conventional wisdom is probably wrong.



- Provide some focus.
- Timely in current monetary policy discussions.
- Each has a distinct conventional wisdom behind it.
 - The conventional wisdom is probably wrong.
 - But the conventional wisdom is driving policy.



- Provide some focus.
- Timely in current monetary policy discussions.
- Each has a distinct conventional wisdom behind it.
 - The conventional wisdom is probably wrong.
 - But the conventional wisdom is driving policy.
- Welfare consequences in principle could be large.



- Provide some focus.
- Timely in current monetary policy discussions.
- Each has a distinct conventional wisdom behind it.
 - The conventional wisdom is probably wrong.
 - But the conventional wisdom is driving policy.
- Welfare consequences in principle could be large.
- An opening for good research to impact economic outcomes.



• The idea that oil prices are critical to understanding U.S. and possibly G-7 business cycles has wide appeal.



- The idea that oil prices are critical to understanding U.S. and possibly G-7 business cycles has wide appeal.
 - Hamilton (1983); subsequent revamping.



- The idea that oil prices are critical to understanding U.S. and possibly G-7 business cycles has wide appeal.
 - Hamilton (1983); subsequent revamping.
- The ad hoc approach since the 70s has been to ignore energy price movements and focus on "core" inflation.



- The idea that oil prices are critical to understanding U.S. and possibly G-7 business cycles has wide appeal.
 - Hamilton (1983); subsequent revamping.
- The ad hoc approach since the 70s has been to ignore energy price movements and focus on "core" inflation.
- In the past I would have agreed that this practice has served us well.



- The idea that oil prices are critical to understanding U.S. and possibly G-7 business cycles has wide appeal.
 - Hamilton (1983); subsequent revamping.
- The ad hoc approach since the 70s has been to ignore energy price movements and focus on "core" inflation.
- In the past I would have agreed that this practice has served us well.
- Now I think the tide has turned and we need to think harder.



JAMES BULLARD



- Buiter's paper at Jackson Hole 2008 included criticism of "core inflation."
- Alan Blinder offered a defense.

A CORE ISSUE

Systemic risk

Non-fundamental equilibria

Some comments



- Buiter's paper at Jackson Hole 2008 included criticism of "core inflation."
- Alan Blinder offered a defense.
 - U.S. monetary policy cannot have a meaningful impact on global oil markets.

A CORE ISSUE

Systemic risk

Non-fundamental equilibria

SOME COMMENTS



- Buiter's paper at Jackson Hole 2008 included criticism of "core inflation."
- Alan Blinder offered a defense.
 - U.S. monetary policy cannot have a meaningful impact on global oil markets.
 - If oil price movements are mainly noise then it shouldn't matter.

A CORE ISSUE

Systemic risk

Non-fundamental equilibria

SOME COMMENTS



- Buiter's paper at Jackson Hole 2008 included criticism of "core inflation."
- Alan Blinder offered a defense.
 - U.S. monetary policy cannot have a meaningful impact on global oil markets.
 - If oil price movements are mainly noise then it shouldn't matter.
 - A one-time shift in the level of oil prices should not have a big impact.

A CORE ISSUE

Systemic risk 0000000 Non-fundamental equilibria

Some comments



- Buiter's paper at Jackson Hole 2008 included criticism of "core inflation."
- Alan Blinder offered a defense.
 - U.S. monetary policy cannot have a meaningful impact on global oil markets.
 - If oil price movements are mainly noise then it shouldn't matter.
 - A one-time shift in the level of oil prices should not have a big impact.
 - A longer term trend in energy prices would be more problematic.

A CORE ISSUE

Systemic risk

Non-fundamental equilibria

SOME COMMENTS



- Buiter's paper at Jackson Hole 2008 included criticism of "core inflation."
- Alan Blinder offered a defense.
 - U.S. monetary policy cannot have a meaningful impact on global oil markets.
 - If oil price movements are mainly noise then it shouldn't matter.
 - A one-time shift in the level of oil prices should not have a big impact.
 - A longer term trend in energy prices would be more problematic.
 - But, a "theorem" says that energy prices cannot continue to increase faster than other prices forever.

A CORE ISSUE

Systemic risk

Non-fundamental equilibria

SOME COMMENTS



- Buiter's paper at Jackson Hole 2008 included criticism of "core inflation."
- Alan Blinder offered a defense.
 - U.S. monetary policy cannot have a meaningful impact on global oil markets.
 - If oil price movements are mainly noise then it shouldn't matter.
 - A one-time shift in the level of oil prices should not have a big impact.
 - A longer term trend in energy prices would be more problematic.
 - But, a "theorem" says that energy prices cannot continue to increase faster than other prices forever.
- This is the conventional wisdom echoed at the FOMC.



FEDERAL RESERVE BANK OF ST. LOUIS



• Oil price movements look more like a five-year trend.



- Oil price movements look more like a five-year trend.
- Very plausible that this is driven by increased demand from the developing world.



- Oil price movements look more like a five-year trend.
- Very plausible that this is driven by increased demand from the developing world.
 - (Although, why 2003?)



- Oil price movements look more like a five-year trend.
- Very plausible that this is driven by increased demand from the developing world.
 - (Although, why 2003?)
- Also plausible that this could go on for a long time. Decades. Think Solow model.



- Oil price movements look more like a five-year trend.
- Very plausible that this is driven by increased demand from the developing world.
 - (Although, why 2003?)
- Also plausible that this could go on for a long time. Decades. Think Solow model.
 - The "theorem" may not have much bite.



- Oil price movements look more like a five-year trend.
- Very plausible that this is driven by increased demand from the developing world.
 - (Although, why 2003?)
- Also plausible that this could go on for a long time. Decades. Think Solow model.
 - The "theorem" may not have much bite.
- Empirical literature: what best predicts future headline inflation?



- Oil price movements look more like a five-year trend.
- Very plausible that this is driven by increased demand from the developing world.
 - (Although, why 2003?)
- Also plausible that this could go on for a long time. Decades. Think Solow model.
 - The "theorem" may not have much bite.
- Empirical literature: what best predicts future headline inflation?
 - This may not work with the most recent data.




• I would like to see more theory-oriented approaches to the subject, less statistics.



- I would like to see more theory-oriented approaches to the subject, less statistics.
- My sense is that theory would always put some weight on each price.



- I would like to see more theory-oriented approaches to the subject, less statistics.
- My sense is that theory would always put some weight on each price.
- The *ad hoc* aspect of the "core" idea would be removed.



- I would like to see more theory-oriented approaches to the subject, less statistics.
- My sense is that theory would always put some weight on each price.
- The *ad hoc* aspect of the "core" idea would be removed.
- Households and policymakers would solve an optimal filtering problem.



- I would like to see more theory-oriented approaches to the subject, less statistics.
- My sense is that theory would always put some weight on each price.
- The *ad hoc* aspect of the "core" idea would be removed.
- Households and policymakers would solve an optimal filtering problem.
- This would itself influence the equilibrium.



• Households and policymakers would have to track changing relative prices.



- Households and policymakers would have to track changing relative prices.
- The equilibrium would involve ongoing learning about how much weight to put on various price movements in policymaking.



- Households and policymakers would have to track changing relative prices.
- The equilibrium would involve ongoing learning about how much weight to put on various price movements in policymaking.
- Imponderables:



- Households and policymakers would have to track changing relative prices.
- The equilibrium would involve ongoing learning about how much weight to put on various price movements in policymaking.
- Imponderables:
 - Intermediate goods.



- Households and policymakers would have to track changing relative prices.
- The equilibrium would involve ongoing learning about how much weight to put on various price movements in policymaking.
- Imponderables:
 - Intermediate goods.
 - Open economies.



- Households and policymakers would have to track changing relative prices.
- The equilibrium would involve ongoing learning about how much weight to put on various price movements in policymaking.
- Imponderables:
 - Intermediate goods.
 - Open economies.
 - Price stickiness.



• One example without any learning:



- One example without any learning:
 - Martin Bodenstein, Chris Erceg, and Luca Guerrieri. "Optimal Monetary Policy in a Model with Distinct Core and Headline Inflation Rates." *JME*, forthcoming.



- One example without any learning:
 - Martin Bodenstein, Chris Erceg, and Luca Guerrieri. "Optimal Monetary Policy in a Model with Distinct Core and Headline Inflation Rates." *JME*, forthcoming.
- Retail prices are Calvo-sticky, wages are Calvo-sticky, energy prices are flexible.



- One example without any learning:
 - Martin Bodenstein, Chris Erceg, and Luca Guerrieri. "Optimal Monetary Policy in a Model with Distinct Core and Headline Inflation Rates." *JME*, forthcoming.
- Retail prices are Calvo-sticky, wages are Calvo-sticky, energy prices are flexible.
- This means "core prices" are sticky. This makes core inflation the key to welfare maximization.



- One example without any learning:
 - Martin Bodenstein, Chris Erceg, and Luca Guerrieri. "Optimal Monetary Policy in a Model with Distinct Core and Headline Inflation Rates." *JME*, forthcoming.
- Retail prices are Calvo-sticky, wages are Calvo-sticky, energy prices are flexible.
- This means "core prices" are sticky. This makes core inflation the key to welfare maximization.
- This gives the main result.



• A trend in the relative price of energy is not part of the analysis.



- A trend in the relative price of energy is not part of the analysis.
- This theory would put a lot of emphasis on "what's sticky?"



- A trend in the relative price of energy is not part of the analysis.
- This theory would put a lot of emphasis on "what's sticky?"
- The view of policymakers would be, "what prices do consumers actually face?"



- A trend in the relative price of energy is not part of the analysis.
- This theory would put a lot of emphasis on "what's sticky?"
- The view of policymakers would be, "what prices do consumers actually face?"
- "Degrees of price stickiness" would suggest optimal filtering in this setting.



- A trend in the relative price of energy is not part of the analysis.
- This theory would put a lot of emphasis on "what's sticky?"
- The view of policymakers would be, "what prices do consumers actually face?"
- "Degrees of price stickiness" would suggest optimal filtering in this setting.
- Also, the final goods price contains the weighted energy input price.



• Monetary policy has been heavily influenced by ideas concerning *systemic risk* in the last year or so.



- Monetary policy has been heavily influenced by ideas concerning *systemic risk* in the last year or so.
- The basic idea is that the sudden failure of a single firm may cause other, healthy, firms to fail at the same time.



- Monetary policy has been heavily influenced by ideas concerning *systemic risk* in the last year or so.
- The basic idea is that the sudden failure of a single firm may cause other, healthy, firms to fail at the same time.
- This would damage the intermediation sector, and it would take a long time to recover.



- Monetary policy has been heavily influenced by ideas concerning *systemic risk* in the last year or so.
- The basic idea is that the sudden failure of a single firm may cause other, healthy, firms to fail at the same time.
- This would damage the intermediation sector, and it would take a long time to recover.
- Macroeconomic models do not typically address this topic.



- Monetary policy has been heavily influenced by ideas concerning *systemic risk* in the last year or so.
- The basic idea is that the sudden failure of a single firm may cause other, healthy, firms to fail at the same time.
- This would damage the intermediation sector, and it would take a long time to recover.
- Macroeconomic models do not typically address this topic.
- Rising or falling systemic risk is pushed into the stochastic terms.



• The systemic risk story is difficult to cope with in the policy world.



- The systemic risk story is difficult to cope with in the policy world.
- The aire of "collapse of the financial system" is worrisome.



- The systemic risk story is difficult to cope with in the policy world.
- The aire of "collapse of the financial system" is worrisome.
- At the same time, all financial firms have incentives to tell this story when necessary in order to avoid losing money.



- The systemic risk story is difficult to cope with in the policy world.
- The aire of "collapse of the financial system" is worrisome.
- At the same time, all financial firms have incentives to tell this story when necessary in order to avoid losing money.
- Many genuinely believe it.



• Recent history includes large failures without large repercussions: Drexel Burnham Lambert in 1990, Barings Bank in 1995, Long Term Capital Management in 1998, Enron in 2001, and Amaranth Advisors in 2006.



- Recent history includes large failures without large repercussions: Drexel Burnham Lambert in 1990, Barings Bank in 1995, Long Term Capital Management in 1998, Enron in 2001, and Amaranth Advisors in 2006.
- There were periodic panics in the 19th century U.S. between the Civil War and the founding of the Federal Reserve.



- Recent history includes large failures without large repercussions: Drexel Burnham Lambert in 1990, Barings Bank in 1995, Long Term Capital Management in 1998, Enron in 2001, and Amaranth Advisors in 2006.
- There were periodic panics in the 19th century U.S. between the Civil War and the founding of the Federal Reserve.
 - There was an equilibrium, but policymakers and consumers did not like that equilibrium.



- Recent history includes large failures without large repercussions: Drexel Burnham Lambert in 1990, Barings Bank in 1995, Long Term Capital Management in 1998, Enron in 2001, and Amaranth Advisors in 2006.
- There were periodic panics in the 19th century U.S. between the Civil War and the founding of the Federal Reserve.
 - There was an equilibrium, but policymakers and consumers did not like that equilibrium.
- Of course, there is the Great Depression.



- Recent history includes large failures without large repercussions: Drexel Burnham Lambert in 1990, Barings Bank in 1995, Long Term Capital Management in 1998, Enron in 2001, and Amaranth Advisors in 2006.
- There were periodic panics in the 19th century U.S. between the Civil War and the founding of the Federal Reserve.
 - There was an equilibrium, but policymakers and consumers did not like that equilibrium.
- Of course, there is the Great Depression.
 - Interested listeners might consult Gary Richardson and William Troost, "Monetary Intervention Mitigated Banking Panics During the Depression."



• Jackson Hole question: How much weight should policymakers put on an implicit "financial stability" objective, relative to price stability and maximum sustainable employment?


- Jackson Hole question: How much weight should policymakers put on an implicit "financial stability" objective, relative to price stability and maximum sustainable employment?
- To this group:



- Jackson Hole question: How much weight should policymakers put on an implicit "financial stability" objective, relative to price stability and maximum sustainable employment?
- To this group:
 - This sounds like multiple steady states.



- Jackson Hole question: How much weight should policymakers put on an implicit "financial stability" objective, relative to price stability and maximum sustainable employment?
- To this group:
 - This sounds like multiple steady states.
 - Requires a notion of what drives the dynamics around each steady state.



• One paper at this conference, George Evans and Seppo Honkapohja, "Robust Learning Stability with Operational Monetary Policy Rules," takes steps in this direction.



- One paper at this conference, George Evans and Seppo Honkapohja, "Robust Learning Stability with Operational Monetary Policy Rules," takes steps in this direction.
 - Certain policy rules, if adopted, would generate an unstable target equilibrium.



- One paper at this conference, George Evans and Seppo Honkapohja, "Robust Learning Stability with Operational Monetary Policy Rules," takes steps in this direction.
 - Certain policy rules, if adopted, would generate an unstable target equilibrium.
 - The policymaker community does not usually talk in these terms.



- One paper at this conference, George Evans and Seppo Honkapohja, "Robust Learning Stability with Operational Monetary Policy Rules," takes steps in this direction.
 - Certain policy rules, if adopted, would generate an unstable target equilibrium.
 - The policymaker community does not usually talk in these terms.
- No financial intermediation component.

A CORE ISSUE

SYSTEMIC RISK

NON-FUNDAMENTAL EQUILIBRIA

SOME COMMENTS



NEEDED: SOME THEORY

• To get closer to the financial instability story a theory needs to incorporate private information held by interlinked financial firms.

A CORE ISSUE

SYSTEMIC RISK

Non-fundamental equilibria

SOME COMMENTS



- To get closer to the financial instability story a theory needs to incorporate private information held by interlinked financial firms.
- One interpretation of financial markets is that information is being revealed every day.

A CORE ISSUE

SYSTEMIC RISK

Non-fundamental equilibria

SOME COMMENTS



- To get closer to the financial instability story a theory needs to incorporate private information held by interlinked financial firms.
- One interpretation of financial markets is that information is being revealed every day.
- All players understand the incentives to withhold information.

A CORE ISSUE

SYSTEMIC RISK

Non-fundamental equilibria

SOME COMMENTS



- To get closer to the financial instability story a theory needs to incorporate private information held by interlinked financial firms.
- One interpretation of financial markets is that information is being revealed every day.
- All players understand the incentives to withhold information.
- Therefore, they are Bayesian learners with respect to the announcements made by other firms.

A CORE ISSUE

SYSTEMIC RISK

Non-fundamental equilibria

SOME COMMENTS



- To get closer to the financial instability story a theory needs to incorporate private information held by interlinked financial firms.
- One interpretation of financial markets is that information is being revealed every day.
- All players understand the incentives to withhold information.
- Therefore, they are Bayesian learners with respect to the announcements made by other firms.
- They also understand the sequence of events if there is a default.

A CORE ISSUE

SYSTEMIC RISK

Non-fundamental equilibria

SOME COMMENTS



- To get closer to the financial instability story a theory needs to incorporate private information held by interlinked financial firms.
- One interpretation of financial markets is that information is being revealed every day.
- All players understand the incentives to withhold information.
- Therefore, they are Bayesian learners with respect to the announcements made by other firms.
- They also understand the sequence of events if there is a default.
 - The endogenous debt constraints literature emphasizes that the penalty for default influences the equilibrium level of credit.



JAMES BULLARD



- Would the Bayesian equilibrium somehow be "fragile"?
- Would it break down in response to certain shocks and cause Evans-Honkapohja-style instability?



- Would the Bayesian equilibrium somehow be "fragile"?
- Would it break down in response to certain shocks and cause Evans-Honkapohja-style instability?
- My sense is no, because the players would understand the risk of failure of a partner firm. But the conventional wisdom is yes.





EQUILIBRIUM

- Would the Bayesian equilibrium somehow be "fragile"?
- Would it break down in response to certain shocks and cause Evans-Honkapohja-style instability?
- My sense is no, because the players would understand the risk of failure of a partner firm. But the conventional wisdom is yes.
 - In other industries, the failure of a large rival is good for business.



NON-FUNDAMENTAL EQUILIBRIA

SOME COMMENTS



Equilibrium

- Would the Bayesian equilibrium somehow be "fragile"?
- Would it break down in response to certain shocks and cause Evans-Honkapohja-style instability?
- My sense is no, because the players would understand the risk of failure of a partner firm. But the conventional wisdom is yes.
 - In other industries, the failure of a large rival is good for business.
- It is important that we get an idea of the fundamental problem we are trying to address when it comes to financial stability.

S A CORE ISSUE SYSTEMIC RISK 000000000 000000

NON-FUNDAMENTAL EQUILIBRIA

Some comments



Equilibrium

- Would the Bayesian equilibrium somehow be "fragile"?
- Would it break down in response to certain shocks and cause Evans-Honkapohja-style instability?
- My sense is no, because the players would understand the risk of failure of a partner firm. But the conventional wisdom is yes.
 - In other industries, the failure of a large rival is good for business.
- It is important that we get an idea of the fundamental problem we are trying to address when it comes to financial stability.
- Interested listeners might check Franklin Allen's overview at Jackson Hole, "Understanding Financial Crises."



Systemic risk 0000000 NON-FUNDAMENTAL EQUILIBRIA

SOME COMMENTS



ECONOMISTS VERSUS THE WORLD

• I have worked a fair amount on non-fundamental equilibria.



- I have worked a fair amount on non-fundamental equilibria.
 - In the literature it has been an *uphill climb*.

A CORE ISSUE

SYSTEMIC RISK

NON-FUNDAMENTAL EQUILIBRIA

SOME COMMENTS



- I have worked a fair amount on non-fundamental equilibria.
 - In the literature it has been an *uphill climb*.
 - Many economists remain skeptical of multiple equilibria.

A CORE ISSUE

Systemic risk 0000000 NON-FUNDAMENTAL EQUILIBRIA

SOME COMMENTS



- I have worked a fair amount on non-fundamental equilibria.
 - In the literature it has been an *uphill climb*.
 - Many economists remain skeptical of multiple equilibria.
 - But, to be fair, much more widely accepted today than it once was.

A CORE ISSUE

Systemic risk 0000000 NON-FUNDAMENTAL EQUILIBRIA

SOME COMMENTS



- I have worked a fair amount on non-fundamental equilibria.
 - In the literature it has been an *uphill climb*.
 - Many economists remain skeptical of multiple equilibria.
 - But, to be fair, much more widely accepted today than it once was.
- In the policy and financial markets worlds, "bubbles" are taken as self-evident.

A CORE ISSUE

Systemic risk 0000000 NON-FUNDAMENTAL EQUILIBRIA

SOME COMMENTS



- I have worked a fair amount on non-fundamental equilibria.
 - In the literature it has been an *uphill climb*.
 - Many economists remain skeptical of multiple equilibria.
 - But, to be fair, much more widely accepted today than it once was.
- In the policy and financial markets worlds, "bubbles" are taken as self-evident.
 - Story: Oil at \$145 a barrel.

A CORE ISSUE

Systemic risk 0000000 NON-FUNDAMENTAL EQUILIBRIA

SOME COMMENTS



- I have worked a fair amount on non-fundamental equilibria.
 - In the literature it has been an *uphill climb*.
 - Many economists remain skeptical of multiple equilibria.
 - But, to be fair, much more widely accepted today than it once was.
- In the policy and financial markets worlds, "bubbles" are taken as self-evident.
 - Story: Oil at \$145 a barrel.
 - Market insiders told me it was wildly high and turned out to be right.

A CORE ISSUE

Systemic risk 0000000 NON-FUNDAMENTAL EQUILIBRIA

SOME COMMENTS



- I have worked a fair amount on non-fundamental equilibria.
 - In the literature it has been an *uphill climb*.
 - Many economists remain skeptical of multiple equilibria.
 - But, to be fair, much more widely accepted today than it once was.
- In the policy and financial markets worlds, "bubbles" are taken as self-evident.
 - Story: Oil at \$145 a barrel.
 - Market insiders told me it was wildly high and turned out to be right.
 - If you think it is persistent fundamentals, you might predict based on a random walk, otherwise you predict a crash.

A CORE ISSUE

Systemic risk 0000000 NON-FUNDAMENTAL EQUILIBRIA

SOME COMMENTS



- I have worked a fair amount on non-fundamental equilibria.
 - In the literature it has been an *uphill climb*.
 - Many economists remain skeptical of multiple equilibria.
 - But, to be fair, much more widely accepted today than it once was.
- In the policy and financial markets worlds, "bubbles" are taken as self-evident.
 - Story: Oil at \$145 a barrel.
 - Market insiders told me it was wildly high and turned out to be right.
 - If you think it is persistent fundamentals, you might predict based on a random walk, otherwise you predict a crash.
- What should policymakers do?



• The conventional wisdom is to first of all admit ignorance.

FEDERAL RESERVE BANK OF ST. LOUIS



- The conventional wisdom is to first of all admit ignorance.
- Plan to react to a crash if one should occur.



- The conventional wisdom is to first of all admit ignorance.
- Plan to react to a crash if one should occur.
- It is not clear that this is the best policy.



• The theory literature has done something sensible on this question.



- The theory literature has done something sensible on this question.
- It has focused on finding conditions under which non-fundamental equilibria may exist.



- The theory literature has done something sensible on this question.
- It has focused on finding conditions under which non-fundamental equilibria may exist.
- The literature has then suggested policies that might eliminate the non-fundamental equilibria.



- The theory literature has done something sensible on this question.
- It has focused on finding conditions under which non-fundamental equilibria may exist.
- The literature has then suggested policies that might eliminate the non-fundamental equilibria.
- This is reasonable, but very specialized to particular models.



- The theory literature has done something sensible on this question.
- It has focused on finding conditions under which non-fundamental equilibria may exist.
- The literature has then suggested policies that might eliminate the non-fundamental equilibria.
- This is reasonable, but very specialized to particular models.
- And, actual policymakers may be more circumscribed in what can be done.


• Suppose that for reasons exogenous to the model, equilibrium is indeterminate and so susceptible to non-fundamental shocks.



- Suppose that for reasons exogenous to the model, equilibrium is indeterminate and so susceptible to non-fundamental shocks.
- Let's not take the route of adopting a policy to eliminate the indeterminacy.



- Suppose that for reasons exogenous to the model, equilibrium is indeterminate and so susceptible to non-fundamental shocks.
- Let's not take the route of adopting a policy to eliminate the indeterminacy.
- Instead, is there a way to respond to an observed non-fundamental shock to improve on the equilibrium?



- Suppose that for reasons exogenous to the model, equilibrium is indeterminate and so susceptible to non-fundamental shocks.
- Let's not take the route of adopting a policy to eliminate the indeterminacy.
- Instead, is there a way to respond to an observed non-fundamental shock to improve on the equilibrium?
 - In principle, there may be welfare superior equilibria available in the indeterminate region.



- Suppose that for reasons exogenous to the model, equilibrium is indeterminate and so susceptible to non-fundamental shocks.
- Let's not take the route of adopting a policy to eliminate the indeterminacy.
- Instead, is there a way to respond to an observed non-fundamental shock to improve on the equilibrium?
 - In principle, there may be welfare superior equilibria available in the indeterminate region.
- Commentators were talking about housing bubbles for years before prices began falling. Similarly with recent commodity price increases.



• I have outlined some thoughts on research topics related to learning and monetary policy.



- I have outlined some thoughts on research topics related to learning and monetary policy.
- One idea concerns ways to get rid of the ad hoc filtering done in the core inflation measures.



- I have outlined some thoughts on research topics related to learning and monetary policy.
- One idea concerns ways to get rid of the ad hoc filtering done in the core inflation measures.
- Another suggested ways to get a better handle on the concept of systemic risk.



- I have outlined some thoughts on research topics related to learning and monetary policy.
- One idea concerns ways to get rid of the ad hoc filtering done in the core inflation measures.
- Another suggested ways to get a better handle on the concept of systemic risk.
- And a third was an appeal to provide advice from existing models on how to react to a non-fundamental shock, if policymakers were willing to bet that an observed price movement was not driven by fundamentals.



- I have outlined some thoughts on research topics related to learning and monetary policy.
- One idea concerns ways to get rid of the ad hoc filtering done in the core inflation measures.
- Another suggested ways to get a better handle on the concept of systemic risk.
- And a third was an appeal to provide advice from existing models on how to react to a non-fundamental shock, if policymakers were willing to bet that an observed price movement was not driven by fundamentals.
- All of these topics are part of the current policy debate.