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## Executive Summary

An estimated 5.4\% of U.S. households-or approximately 7.1 million-are unbanked, according to the Federal Deposit Insurance Corp. (FDIC). This means these households do not have checking or savings accounts at a traditional bank or credit union. The estimated number of unbanked households in the 2019 FDIC report was the lowest since the survey began in 2009. The most common reasons survey respondents cited for being unbanked included the following:

- They did not have enough money for the minimum balance in an account.
- They did not trust banks.
- They said avoiding banks affords more privacy.
- Bank fees were too high or unpredictable' for them.

An additional 22 million households are underbanked based on calculations by CFE using FDIC data. Meaning these households have a bank account but many times rely on alternative financial services such as money orders, check cashing services and payday loans rather than traditional loans and credit cards to manage their finances.

The purpose of the Bank On movement is to improve the financial stability of unbanked and underbanked individuals and families by making safe, low-cost transaction accounts available and accessible to all. A way in which the movement reaches its target markets is by professionalizing, or building a formal structure, for banking access efforts for financial institutions and their customers. Paramount to the professionalization of such efforts are high-quality data showing the take-up, or engagement with, and usage of Bank On-certified accounts.

The St. Louis Fed's Bank On National Data (BOND) Hub serves as a national reporting platform for all financial institutions offering certified Bank On accounts. For this report, 17 financial institutions submitted data to the BOND Hub. The institutions were asked to submit their 2020 account data on 25 metrics related to account openings, usage and consistency, and online access. These data allow stakeholders to better understand the market locally, regionally and nationally. The BOND Hub also allows financial institutions to benchmark the performance of Bank On-certified products and use this information for regulator examinations of their community services. It helps Bank On coalition partners illustrate their progress in promoting local banking access without requiring multiple data requests. The ability to quantify the national impact of Bank On-and how consumers are opening and using safe, affordable transaction accounts-is an important asset for banking access efforts and demonstrates the market for Bank On-certified accounts. ${ }^{2}$

1 See the FDIC Nationa Survey of Unbanked and Underbanked Households.

2 A key change in the 2020 data was seven more participating financial institutions compared to only 10 in 2019.

## KEY TAKEAWAYS

- More than 8.4 million Bank On-certified accounts were opened to date across the 17 reporting institutions. Of those, over 3.8 million accounts were open and active in 2020; $82 \%$ of those accounts were opened by customers who were new to the financial institution. Bank On accounts have been opened in more than 33,000 ZIP codes, or $80 \%$ of all U.S. ZIP codes.
- Nearly 64 million debit transactions were processed on average per month for Bank On account holders at participating institutions, an average of over 26 debit transactions per accountholder per month creating an average of over $\$ 2.4$ billion in debit transaction value per month. Debit transactions can help account holders avoid fees and service charges from credit cards and help them stay accountable with their spending.
- The percentage of new Bank On account openings data collected at community financial institutions reported numbers that almost doubled in 2020. More than 8,000 certified accounts were opened at community institutions compared with 4,000 in 2019.
- Account holders continue to be active with the services provided by Bank Oncertified accounts. Over $\$ 43$ billion was deposited into these accounts in 2020 for an average of 6 deposits per month and $32 \%$ of account holders used direct deposit. The average monthly balance per account increased substantially to $\$ 826$, and $79 \%$ of account holders were digitally active.


## Part One - The BOND Hub

## OVERVIEW

Each year, the St. Louis Fed invites all financial institutions with accounts certified as meeting the Bank On National Account Standards to report data to its BOND Hub. The metrics collected have been determined in consultation with the CFE Fund and its Bank On National Advisory Board. Data are aggregated and released annually.

## BACKGROUND

Approximately 7.1 million households throughout the U.S. are unbanked ${ }^{3}$ and 22 million are underbanked. Without a bank account, households face challenges in saving reliably or automatically, or establishing a banking relationship that can lead to accessing affordable credit. Consequently, being unbanked makes it harder to achieve other important financial goals. The national Bank On movement works to expand professional banking access efforts by supporting local Bank On coalitions. The movement also seeks to increase the availability of safe and affordable banking products that meet Bank On National Account Standards, while connecting unbanked and underbanked people with these products.

The CFE Fund provides a public certification seal for transactional consumer accounts offered by financial institutions that meet Bank On National Account Standards. Local coalitions and government leaders can rely on these certifications when choosing to highlight accounts available to local residents and when choosing partners for banking access programs. Additionally, financial institutions market their product-specific national certification seal to highlight that their accounts meet these Standards. The CFE Fund also promotes Bank On-certified accounts by including them in Bank On marketing materials and in communications with local Bank On coalitions, as well as in its own program investments.

Bank On-certified accounts help financial institutions reach unbanked and underbanked
customers by bringing them into the financial mainstream and starting a new banking relationship. This benefits consumers who need accounts with low or minimum required balances, including those who have left the mainstream banking system because of overdraft issues, those looking for accounts with flexible account screening, or those who were turned off by high or unpredictable fees. Bank On-certified accounts seek to provide a safe, affordable and functional banking experience that allows consumers to build or rebuild a relationship with a mainstream financial institution. Financial institutions offering Bank On-certified accounts are also poised to attract people entering the banking world for the first time, including young people and students, since they tend to build new, long-term banking relationships.

In addition, products certified as meeting the Bank On National Account Standards (see Appendix A) support Community Reinvestment Act (CRA) service test examinations. Recent guidance for CRA questions and answers highlights the availability of low-cost deposit accounts, the extent to which they reduce costs, and the degree to which services are tailored to meet the needs of Low- to-Moderate Income (LMI) individuals.

The Bank On National Account Standards offer guidance for financial institutions to prioritize account offerings that highlight their commitment to:

- Meeting consumers' and communities' needs
- Connecting with banking access opportunities or programs
- Expanding their customer base
- Meeting regulatory requirements for community reinvestment


## DATA COLLECTION

The goal of this data collection is to understand the demand for and use of Bank On-certified accounts. Results from analyses with a descriptive cross-sectional study design allow us to examine national activity for three categories of metrics:
account opening, account usage and consistency, and online access. Further, the design enables the St. Louis Fed to provide detail on take-up and usage rates based on geographic location, proximity to a Bank On coalition, and unbanked and underbanked rates by developing an interactive data tool.

Since the St. Louis Fed used a non-experimental design and did not study the outcomes of Bank On accounts for account holders, this report presents no causal relationship with Bank On account ownership and the effectiveness of the Bank On initiative. We hope the report's findings will inform financial institutions' decisions to implement certified Bank On account programs and submit their annual data to the St. Louis Fed through its secure online portal.

Data for this study were collected using a purely quantitative method. The 17 participating financial institutions in the 2020 data collection were asked to enter into a data sharing agreement with the St. Louis Fed. Upon full execution of the data sharing agreement, each institution was invited to submit data securely to the St. Louis Fed. Once the St. Louis Fed received the data from all participating institutions, the data were compiled, cleaned, aggregated by ZIP code (with three or more reporting institutions) and combined into a national data set.

Participating financial institutions provided data for 289 fields, with 24 metrics reported in monthly increments and one metric ("total number of certified accounts opened") reported as annual. To simplify the data reporting process, this is completed once a year. Understanding that as the reporting infrastructure continues to develop, all institutions may not have data to report for all fields, the St. Louis Fed asked participating institutions to provide the data they could for each of the metrics. ${ }^{4}$

TABLE 1. Bank On Metrics for 2020 Data

| Account Opening | Total Number of Certified <br> Accounts Opened |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | Number of Accounts Currently <br> Open |
|  | Number of Accounts Newly <br> Opened (2020) |
|  | Number of Account-Opening <br> Customers New to Institution |
|  | Number of Accounts Newly <br> Closed |
| and Consistency | Number of Account Holders <br> Using Direct Deposit |
|  | Number of Account Holders <br> Making Debit Transactions |
|  | Frequency of Debit Transactions |
|  | Total Value of Debit Transactions <br> Number of Account Holders <br> Making Withdrawals |
|  | Frequency of Withdrawals |
| Total Value of Withdrawals |  |
| Number of Account Holders <br> Making Deposits |  |
| Frequency of Deposits |  |
| Total Value of Deposits |  |
| Average Monthly Balance |  |
|  | Total Number of Account <br> Holders Using Bill Pay |
| Frequency of Online Bill Pay |  |
| Total Value of Online Bill Pay <br> Digitally Active |  |
| Number of Accounts Using <br> Peer-to-Peer (P2P) Transactions |  |
| Number of P2P Transactions |  |
| Value of P2P Transactions |  |
|  | Access |

4 The data available in the public file is limited to ZIP codes with three or more financial institutions reporting. As a result, analysis completed using the public data file may not match the results of this report.

## Part Two - Data Findings

Through data submissions to its BOND Hub, the St. Louis Fed tracked activity and use of banking accounts certified as meeting the Bank On National Account Standards across the data metrics stated in Table 1. Full descriptions of each metric can be found in Appendix A, and Appendix B contains a data dictionary to assist in interpreting the data file.

Across the 17 institutions reporting their 2020 data, the sample of Bank On accounts studied for each metric represents 33,695 ZIP codes throughout the United States and its territories. The St. Louis Fed also aggregated the data by ZIP code with three or more reporting institutions, representing accounts in 19,935 ZIP codes. The data for each metric discussed in this section were calculated based on the combined total of Bank On-certified accounts reported during the 2020 data collection. All data presented were rounded to the nearest whole dollar or percentage and were calculated using the financial institutions' monthly data weighted by the share of currently opened accounts. ${ }^{5}$

The aggregated data are publicly available on the St. Louis Fed's website at the ZIP code level for areas where three or more participating financial institutions are reporting. The ZIP code identifier is essential to local Bank On coalitions for identifying where markets and coalition activity are strong. The combined data at the national level are reflected in the interactive data tool produced by the St. Louis Fed at the state and metropolitan statistical area (MSA) levels.

The data show the robustness of the market for Bank On-certified accounts. Across the 17 financial institutions participating in the 2020 data collection, more than 8.4 million Bank On-certified accounts were opened. Of those, over 3.8 million accounts were open and active in 2020, and they represented approximately $80 \%$ of ZIP codes nationwide.

Following the data submission, the St. Louis Fed team hosted the BOND Hub Advisory Committee to discuss the results. Common themes were identified among the institutions and are included in this section for additional context.

TABLE 2. ZIP Codes Reporting

|  | 2018 | 2019 | 2020 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total Number of Reported ZIP Codes with Bank On Accounts | 27,305 <br> (65\% of <br> U.S. ZIP <br> codes) | 31,496 <br> (75\% of <br> U.S. ZIP <br> codes) | 33,695 <br> (80\% of <br> US ZIP <br> Codes) |
| Total Number of Reported ZIP Codes with 3 or More Reporting Institutions | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 11,321 } \\ & \text { (27\% of } \\ & \text { U.S. ZIP } \\ & \text { codes) } \end{aligned}$ | $15,652$ <br> (37\% of <br> U.S. ZIP <br> Codes) | $19,935$ <br> (47\% of <br> U.S ZIP <br> Codes) |

## ACCOUNT OPENING

Account opening is a key measurement used by financial institutions and Bank On coalitions to understand the growth and popularity of an account, as well as account attrition. To provide insight into account opening trends across the country, the key metrics include the number of:

- Total certified accounts ever opened
- Accounts currently open
- Accounts opened during reporting year
- Accounts opened by customers new to the institution
- Accounts newly closed

These data look at account opening in a few ways that offer different measures of demand for products certified as meeting Bank On National Account Standards. The running total of accounts opened over time and number of accounts newly opened during the reporting period offer a perspective on growth and momentum, which has allowed and will continue to allow for annual comparisons that show the growth of the marketplace and institutions involved.

5 The data were cleaned dropping observations above the $99 \%$ percentile, and aggregated using the number of currently opened accounts as the weighting variable For instance, the calculation for the number of accounts using the debit functionality was $\sum_{(i, m)}\left(\frac{\text { actat debit }}{\text { curt_open }}\right)_{i, m} w_{i, m}$, with

That is the ratio of acct_debit/curr_open in the financial institution ("i") on the month ("m") weighted by the share of currently opened accounts in financial institution during " $m$ " relative to the total number of currently opened accounts for all financial institutions and months. The reason for this procedure is to give to each opened account the same importance regardless the size of the reporting institution by giving a higher weight to observations that come from an institution with many currently opened accounts relative to another one with only one currently opened account.

TABLE 3. Bank On Account Opening at Participating Institutions

|  | 2018 | $2019^{6}$ | 2020 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total <br> Number of <br> Accounts <br> Ever <br> Opened | $3,440,379$ | $5,849,583$ | $8,446,285$ |
| Total <br> Number of <br> Accounts <br> Currently <br> Open | $1,345,252$ | $2,634,502$ | $3,890,000$ |
| Total <br> Number of <br> Accounts <br> Opened <br> during <br> Reporting <br> Year | 792,389 | $1,921,651$ | $2,232,950$ |
| Customers <br> New to <br> Financial <br> Institution | $75 \%$ | $86 \%$ | $82 \%$ |
| Accounts <br> Closed <br> during <br> Reporting <br> Year | $31 \%$ | $30 \%$ | $26 \%$ |

The 2020 data reflect an increase of 2,596,702 accounts ever opened, $44 \%$ higher than the previous reporting year. The total number of accounts currently open-over 3.8 million-represents the combined total of all participating institutions as of Dec. 31, $2020,48 \%$ higher than the previous reporting year. It is worth noting that some of this increase is likely because of additional financial institutions reporting 2020 data. The percentage of customers new to the participating financial institutions averaged out to $82 \%$, but there was an actual increase in new customers of approximately $10 \%$ relative to 2019 total new customers, with almost 1.8 million new customers in 2020. The increase in demand was easier to identify in 2018, when $75 \%$ of customers were new, followed by an $86 \%$ increase in 2019. The percentage of accounts closed-26\%-is consistent with the expectation of financial institution and data findings from the previous year and does not disaggregate accounts closed by those leaving the financial institution and those closing this account to open another.

TABLE 4. Bank On Account Opening at Large Financial Institutions 2018-2020

|  | 2018 | 2019 | 2020 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total Number <br> of Accounts <br> Ever Opened | $3,436,948$ | $5,844,536$ | $8,408,741$ |
| Total Number <br> of Accounts <br> Currently Open | $1,341,898$ | $2,630,509$ | $3,879,899$ |
| Total Number <br> of Accounts <br> Opened during <br> Reporting Year | 789,400 | $1,917,540$ | $2,224,747$ |
| Customers <br> New to Finan- <br> cial Institution | $75 \%$ | $86 \%$ | $82 \%$ |
| Accounts <br> Closed during <br> Reporting Year | $31 \%$ | $30 \%$ | $25 \%$ |

Due to a change in data reporting methodology, some 2019 values were updated in this report.

Per the 2020 data, the month with the highest number of account openings for the large financial institutions was December, when 239,300 accounts were opened. The month with the lowest number of account openings was April, with 126,557 accounts. The average for monthly account openings for the large institutions was 185,395.

TABLE 5. Bank On Account Opening at Community Financial Institutions

|  | 2018 | 2019 | 2020 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total Number <br> of Accounts <br> Ever Opened | 3,431 | 5,047 | 37,544 |
| Total Number <br> of Accounts <br> Currently Open | 2,802 | 3,993 | 10,101 |
| Total Number <br> of Accounts <br> Opened during <br> Reporting Year | 2,989 | 4,111 | 8,203 |
| Customers <br> New to | $24 \%$ | $14 \%$ | $50 \%$ |
| Financial <br> Institution | $50 \%$ | $51 \%$ | $49 \%$ |
| Accounts <br> Closed during <br> Reporting Year | $30 \%$ |  |  |

The percentage of new Bank On account openings reported by participating community financial institutions nearly doubled in 2020, with

8,203 certified accounts opened compared with 4,111 in 2019. For community financial institutions, January was the month with the most accounts opened in 2020 - with 524 account openings-compared to larger financial institutions, which saw the most account openings in December. April had the lowest number of account openings for community institutions, at 355, which was also the month with the lowest number of account openings for larger financial institutions. The low level of activity during April at all participating institutions may reflect the reduced level of overall economic activity around the country from the COVID-19 pandemic. For community institutions, April was the month with the most accounts opened in 2019. The percentage of accounts closed at community institutions was 49\% in 2020. At large institutions, 82\% of customers were new to the institution compared to $50 \%$ at community institutions. As data are collected for future years, we will examine more deeply differences between community institutions and large institutions.

## ACCOUNT USAGE AND CONSISTENCY

Beyond account openings, it's crucial to understand how account holders are using Bank On-certified accounts for everyday transactions to determine the functionality of the accounts and their ability to meet consumers' transactional needs. These metrics also help inform the Bank On National Account Standards by providing quantitative data that demonstrate the use and the importance of specific account characteristics. The St. Louis Fed assessed overall account activity for debit, deposit and withdrawal transactions, as well as the average monthly balance of the accounts.

Tracking debit transactions is a key way to show how account holders are using Bank On products. The 17 participating financial institutions processed nearly 64 million debit transactions on average per month for their account holders, creating an average of over $\$ 2.4$ billion in debit transaction value per month. Overall, the majority of Bank On account holders use debit functionality, with $75 \%$ making debit transactions. These data indicate that account holders' value, use and largely rely on this mainstream feature for regular financial transactions.

TABLE 6. Bank On Account Holders' Debit Transactions at Participating Institutions

| 2018 | 2019 | 2020 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Account Holders <br> Making Debit <br> Transactions | $76 \%$ | $74 \%$ | $75 \%$ |
| Average Number <br> of Debits per <br> Account per Month | 24.5 | 25.5 | 26.3 |
| Average Debit <br> Amount | $\$ 33$ | $\$ 32$ | $\$ 38$ |
| Total Debit <br> Transactions | $251,756,747$ | $466,612,619$ | $767,317,045$ |
| Total Value of All <br> Debit Transactions | $\$ 8,192,010,530$ | $\$ 15,016,793,322$ | $\$ 28,851,887,950$ |

TABLE 7. Bank On Account Holders' Deposits and Withdrawals at Participating Institutions

|  | 2018 | 2019 | 2020 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Account Holders Using Direct Deposit | 24\% | 27\% | 32\% |
| Account Holders Making Deposits | 71\% | 72\% | 73\% |
| Average Number of Deposits per Month | 3,567,387 | 7,477,667 | 13,716,368 |
| Average Number of Deposits per Month per Account | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| Average Value of Deposits | \$269 | \$264 | \$273 |
| Total Value of all Deposits | \$11,502,744,381 | \$22,969,251,972 | \$43,586,463,205 |
| Account Holders making Withdrawals | 83\% | 80\% | 80\% |
| Average Number of Withdrawals per Month | 23,880,706 | 45,436,124 | 75,451,496 |
| Average Number of Withdrawals per Month per Account | 26 | 27 | 29 |
| Average Withdrawal Amount | \$57 | \$63 | \$72 |
| Total Value of All Withdrawals | \$16,331,234,017 | \$31,164,061,614 | \$59,482,215,101 |
| Average monthly balance per account | \$234 | \$339 | \$826 |

Direct deposit is a telling indicator of consistent usage by account holders, as well as an indication that account holders are using different features of the account (e.g., for paycheck deposits, tax refunds and government assistant programs or public benefits). Almost a third of Bank On account holders use direct deposit, and the percentage has been steadily increasing since data collection began. Additionally, direct deposit rates tend to be lower among LMI workers.

Customers are accessing their money using account withdrawal and deposit capabilities, both of which are indicators of safe banking and money management. Among the 17 reporting financial institutions, $73 \%$ of all account holders were making non-automatic clearing house (ACH) deposits with an average value of $\$ 273$.

The average number of withdrawals increased from the previous year-from 27 to 29 times per month. Account holders making withdrawals represented 80\% of the 2020 data, averaging $\$ 72$ per transaction. This demonstrates a majority of account holders were actively using their accounts.

The average monthly balance of all accounts is approximately $\$ 2.7$ billion, which averages to a monthly balance of $\$ 826$ per account (Median = \$777). This average account balance is high relative
to previous years and is being driven upward by all large financial institutions involved in the reporting. The average monthly balance for community financial institutions averaged to $\$ 400$ per account (with the median equaling \$301), closer to 2019 values.

## ONLINE ACCESS

Online banking capabilities, such as bill pay and online access, serve as trusted and convenient options for paying bills or transferring funds between accounts or to other people, all while keeping funds and customers' accounts safe.

Digital activity remained similar to the previous year, increasing to 79\% compared with 75\% in 2019. This still showed that three-quarters of account holders were digitally active, which speaks to the appeal and importance of the online banking capabilities that Bank On accounts offer. P2P payments were used by $31 \%$ of account holders, also an increase from the previous year. Both the average number and the average value of P2P transactions per month increased. The number of account holders who used bill pay remained the same at 3\%; participating financial institutions noted that it is common for debit transactions and withdrawals to be used as methods by which account holders may pay their bills instead of through this feature.

TABLE 8. Bank On Account Holders' Online Usage at Participating Institutions

|  | 2018 | 2019 | 2020 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Account Holders Digitally Active | $70 \%$ | $75 \%$ | $79 \%$ |
| Average Number of Digitally Active Accounts | 787,703 | $1,546,226$ | $2,557,945$ |
| Account Holders Using Bill Pay | $2 \%$ | $3 \%$ | $3 \%$ |
| Average Number of Bill Pays Per Month Per Account | 3 | 2 | 2 |
| Average Bill Pay Amount | $\$ 192$ | $\$ 210$ | $\$ 215$ |
| Account Holders Using P2P | $17 \%$ | $23 \%$ | $31 \%$ |
| Average Number of P2P Transactions Per Month | 989,213 | $2,536,730$ | $5,817,898$ |
| Average Amount of P2P Transaction | $\$ 164$ | $\$ 166$ | $\$ 179$ |

## CONCLUSION

Overall, the 2020 data continued to show that the Bank On-certified accounts offered by the 17 reporting financial institutions were attracting new customers to the financial mainstream. The geographic coverage of accounts expanded to $80 \%$ of all U.S. ZIP codes. The growth of financial institutions from 10 to 17 participating in the data collection, as well as the number of open accounts from approximately 2.6 million at the end of 2019 to approximately 3.8 million at the end of 2020, continued to show increased demand for Bank Oncertified accounts over the reporting period.

This data also showed a large increase in new Bank On account openings at community financial institutions, with numbers that nearly doubled, closing the gap between account openings and new customers. New customers to community financial institutions represented 50\% of new accounts compared with $14 \%$ in 2019. New customers at large financial institutions represented $82 \%$ of new accounts. The highest number of certified accounts were opened in December 2020 at large financial institutions; for community financial institutions, the most account openings occurred in January 2020.

Overall, the use of Bank On-certified accounts is consistent with the expectations of financial institutions. Approximately $\$ 43$ billion was deposited into Bank On-certified accounts in 2020, and $32 \%$ of account holders used direct deposit. The average monthly balance was $\$ 826$ per account and $79 \%$ of account holders were digitally active, making the average account balance high relative to previous years. The data show that large financial institutions are driving the higher account balance average. The average monthly balance for community financial institutions is closer to a monthly balance of $\$ 400$ per account. A contributing factor to the elevated account balance may be related to the stimulus package during the coronavirus pandemic. We will continue to look at this trend in years to come.

The BOND Hub continues to allow all financial institutions with accounts that meet the National Account Standards (NAS) to submit their data to a federal regulator, ensuring the reporting process is consistent, accurate and secure. These data are critical to sustaining the momentum of the Bank On movement, but more important, the availability of safe and affordable banking products. To illustrate the growth of the Bank On market and to support local banking access efforts, the St. Louis Fed has published these data on its website and created an online interactive data tool to display accounts at the ZIP code, MSA and state levels.

## 2020 Bank On Data Metrics

## ACCOUNT OPENING METRICS

## Accounts Opened

This metric refers to the number of Bank On-certified accounts opened since the product was available at the institution. Reporting on the running total of certified accounts opened provides the Bank On community with numbers that support and identify how the movement is scaling its impact through Bank On National Account certification, as well as the general appeal of products that meet the certification standards. Beyond the national movement, this metric also provides data locally and regionally to demonstrate local successes. The number of opened Bank On-certified accounts can serve as a benchmark for local coalition efforts to help residents open accounts or move to safer, more affordable accounts. Accurate and consistent account-opening metrics can also be useful for gaining government and philanthropic support for banking access efforts and helping coalitions attract new financial institutions and social service partners.

## Accounts Newly Opened

This metric refers to the number of Bank Oncertified accounts opened within the reporting year. Tracking the number of these accounts provides coalitions with data to assess the impact from their year's efforts and to study how this number varies by city, region or ZIP code.

## Number of New Account Customers New to the Institution

This metric is the number of Bank On-certified accounts opened by individuals who were not account holders on the day of account opening. It helps demonstrate to financial institutions how an account is attracting a new customer base, often key to the longer-term sustainability of such accounts. While this metric does not capture whether a customer was unbanked or underbanked prior to opening a Bank On-certified account, it may serve as an indicator for previously unbanked and underbanked and/or new customers opening
the accounts-data that are otherwise difficult to track. The percentage of new accounts opened is calculated by dividing the number of accounts opened by individuals who weren't deposit account holders by the total number of accounts opened in the same year.

## Number of Accounts Newly Closed

This metric represents the total number of certified accounts closed for any reason during the reporting period. The account attrition rate is a key part of the equation in assessing the vitality of a product. For example, a low closure rate could help demonstrate the vitality and usage of accounts, and financial institutions' internal comparisons of the rate with that of other accounts in their portfolios may prove useful. The percentage of new accounts closed is calculated by dividing number of accounts closed in that year by the total number of accounts that were open by the end of the year.

## Number of Accounts during the Reporting Year

This metric represents the total number of accounts open at any point during the reporting year and captures the entire universe of Bank Oncertified accounts open during 2018. The number of individual accounts that were open and potentially active at any point during the reporting year is used as the basis for estimating the percentage of account holders using direct deposit and online banking, along with other key metrics. The number of open accounts helps show a complete picture of account usage across all accounts that were being used during the reporting year.

## ACCOUNT USAGE AND CONSISTENCY METRICS

## Direct Deposit

This metric represents the total number of accounts with any ACH deposits made in the reporting year. The Bank On National Account Standards require that account holders have free deposit capability at a branch, an ATM or through direct deposit. Understanding direct deposit usage and frequency helps demonstrate the importance of this requirement. These metrics can be used to indicate whether account holders are consistently using the account's full functionality-such as free direct deposit of paychecks-without turning to alternative financial services such as check-cashing businesses that charge high fees. Direct deposit usage can also show the continuity of the account, and often indicates that account holders will keep it, since they are using it for their main transactions. The percentage of account holders using direct deposit is calculated in the report by dividing the total number of accounts with any ACH deposit by the total number of accounts that were open by the end of that year.

## Debit Transactions

- Number of account holders making a debit transaction: The number of account holders who make a debit transaction through online or point-of-sale (POS) purchases in the reporting year
- Number of debit transactions per month
- Value of debit transactions per month

These metrics demonstrate how consumers are using products for everyday transactions and how account holders are interacting with the mainstream noncash economy. Tracking this usage also can help financial institutions further identify product sustainability and demand by helping show the amount of account usage.

These debit metrics represent a primary way that most people use banking accounts. The number of accounts making debit transactions provides information about the spending habits of the account holders, specifically on noncash transactions and purchases. The data also help illustrate how

Bank On account holders are generating interchange revenue for financial institutions.

In addition, cross-referencing the number of accounts making debit transactions and the total number of debit transactions monthly with the dollar value of these transactions can provide more detail on the frequency and value of average debit transactions. These transactions have the potential to show that for some financial institutions, these products are truly profitable and sustainable, while also meeting consumer needs. The percentage of account holders making debit transactions is calculated by dividing the number of accounts used for any debit transaction by the total number of accounts open by the end of that year. The average debit amount is calculated by dividing the total dollar value of all debit transactions by the total number of accounts used for any debit transaction.

## Withdrawals

- Number of accounts making withdrawals: The number of accounts used for any form of withdrawal within the reporting year
- Number of withdrawals per month
- Value of withdrawals per month

Tracking withdrawals is crucial to understanding account usage and the ways customers access their money using their Bank On-certified accounts. Withdrawal types may include ATM usage, teller withdrawal or transfers to another account. The total number of withdrawals made, as well as their value, helps identify the amount of money that customers are regularly obtaining, how often and how they are withdrawing their money.

Withdrawal behavior is an indicator of safe banking and money management. For example, all certified Bank On accounts are required to offer free, unrestricted in-network ATM and branch withdrawals, with no overdraft or nonsufficient fees (NSF). Thus, account holders could make smaller, regular cash withdrawals as needed, rather than concentrating them into a few large withdrawals to avoid fees. This withdrawal behavior would indicate that account holders are carrying cash in smaller amounts and
using their accounts as money management tools, rather than for one-time lump-sum withdrawals. The percentage of account holders making withdrawals is calculated by dividing the number of accounts used for any withdrawal by the total number of accounts open by the end of that year.

## Deposits

- Number of account holders making deposits:

The number of accounts making any non-ACH deposit by check or cash

- Number of deposits per month
- Value of deposits per month

Tracking deposits helps measure a product's effectiveness in helping account holders keep all their earnings by being able to deposit money without fees and without using a check casher or other alternative financial service.

Similar to withdrawals, deposits are also an indicator of account usage. Additionally, the collective value of these deposits represents the size of the market of people using Bank On-certified accounts, which can help make the case for other financial institutions to offer accounts that meet Bank On National Account Standards. In the report, the percentage of account holders making deposits is calculated by dividing the number of accounts with any non-ACH deposit by the total number of accounts open by the end of that year. The average value of deposits is calculated by dividing the total dollar value of all non-ACH deposits by the total number of non-ACH deposits.

## Average monthly balance

This metric represents the average monthly balance of all accounts currently open at each financial institution. In addition to account usage, account balances are critical indicators for financial institutions in assessing the behavior of a new account holder. The data can serve as a general indicator of account usage and activity and provide insight about the income level of the account's customer base. The average monthly balance per account is calculated by dividing the sum of the average month-end balance by the number of accounts open by the end of that year.

## ONLINE ACCESS METRICS

## Online Bill Pay

- Number of accounts using online bill pay
- Number of online bill pay transactions per month
- Value of online bill pay transactions per month

Online bill pay usage metrics not only display robust account usage but also help make the case for the importance of this functionality. For example, financial institutions with high money order usage rates and low bill pay take-up might seek to make product changes that meet customer needs and lead to cost savings through greater bill pay capabilities.

Tracking the total number of accounts used for bill pay in the reporting year, as well as the monthly number and value of online bill payments, demonstrates how account holders are managing payments and paying bills. It shows how, and if, customers are fully leveraging the benefits and features of their banking products. The percentage of account holders using bill pay is calculated by dividing the number of accounts using bill pay by the total number of accounts open by the end of that year.

## Peer-to-Peer Transactions

- Number of accounts used for P2P transactions
- Number of P2P transactions per month
- Value of P2P transactions per month

Usage of P2P capabilities-which allow customers to transfer and receive money and pay and be paid by someone through their accountsdemonstrates how they are using the accounts for a full range of financial needs.

As financial technology advances, P2P capabilities are seen as critical functionality for consumers of all ages. Additionally, financial institutions often obtain interchange revenue from P2P transactions. Thus, tracking P2P capabilities and their usage also supports further analysis of
how payments outside the checking systems work and benefit financial institutions. The percentage of account holders using P2P is calculated by dividing the number of accounts using P2P functionality by the total number of accounts open by the end of that year.

## Number of Digitally Active Accounts

The reporting system also documents the total number of customers who are digitally active, as defined by the financial institution, to assess the number of account holders who have online access and use it for transactions. An oftenarticulated concern from financial institutions is that account holders will have a high demand for in-branch customer assistance. Digitally active accounts indicate that customers can leverage online functionality, such as to check account balances or deposit checks, lessening the burden on bank branches. These data can help stakeholders understand the value of, and demand for, online access. Similarly, tracking digitally active accounts provides financial institutions with a high-level view of how customers with Bank On-certified products embrace and use non-branch features that come with their new banking relationships. The percentage of digitally active account holders is calculated by dividing the number of customers who are digitally active, as defined by the reporting institution, by the total number of accounts open by the end of that year.

## 2020 Bank On Data Dictionary

| Name | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Description } \\ \text { Year } \\ \text { Calendar year for reported } \\ \text { data (format: yyyy) }\end{array}$ | Indicates the calendar year reporting period | Data Points Reported |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| zip_code | ZIP code (five digits) | All data are based on the ZIP code of the |  |
| account holders |  |  |  |$]$

[^0]|  | Description | Definition | Data Points Reported |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| withdrls_tot_ mmm* | Number of withdrawals per month | Total number of account withdrawals per month | 1 number per month (12 annually) |
| withdrl_valu_ mmm* | Total dollar value of all withdrawals per month | Total dollar value of all account withdrawals per month | 1 number per month (12 annually) |
| dep_accts_mmm* | Number of accounts with any non-ACH deposit per month | Total number of accounts with any non-ACH deposit (check or cash deposit) per month | 1 number per month (12 annually) |
| non_ach_mmm* | Number of non-ACH deposits per month | Total number of account, non-ACH deposits per month | 1 number per month (12 annually) |
| $\underset{\mathrm{mmm}^{*}}{\text { non_ach_valu_ }}$ | Total dollar value of all nonACH deposits per month | Total dollar value of all account, non-ACH deposits per month | 1 number per month (12 annually) |
| online_billpay_ accts_mmm* | Number of accounts using bill pay per month | Total number of accounts using bill pay per month | 1 number per month ( 12 annually) |
| online_billpay_ mmm* | Number of bills paid per month | Total number of bills paid per month for all accounts | 1 number per month (12 annually) |
| online_billpay_ valu_mmm* | Total dollar value of all bills paid per month | Total dollar value of all bills paid per month for all accounts | 1 number per month (12 annually) |
| p2p_accts_mmm* | Number of accounts using P2P functionality | Total number of accounts using P2P functionality per month | 1 number per month (12 annually) |
| p2p_trans_mmm* | Number of P2P transactions per month | Total number of P2P transactions (inflow and outflow) per month for all accounts | 1 number per month (12 annually) |
| p2p_trans_valu_ mmm* | Total dollar value of all P2P transactions per month | Total dollar value of all P2P transactions (inflow and outflow) per month for all accounts | 1 number per month (12 annually) |
| avg_accts_bal_ mmm* | Sum of average month-end balance | Sum of the average month-end balance of all accounts currently open in the financial institution | 1 number per month (12 annually) |
| digt_actv_mmm* | Number of customers who are digitally active, as defined by the reporting institution, per month | Number of account customers who are digitally active, as defined by the reporting institution, per month | 1 number per month (12 annually) |

[^1]00000000000000 0000000000000 0000000000000 0000000000000 000000000000 0000000000000 0000000000000 000000000000 000000000000 0000000000000 0000000000000 0000000000000 0000000000000 0000000000000 0000000000000 0000000000000 0000000000000



[^0]:    * mmm represents the applicable month on the submission template

[^1]:    * mmm represents the applicable month on the submission template

